

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.59201 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-184 Year-2022 Thana- BITHAN District- Samastipur

Md. Samsher @ Md. Samsher Uddin S/O Nehal Uddin R/O Village- Nista
Haripur, Ward No. 14, P.S- Alauli, Dist.- Khagariya, Bihar.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Jitendra Kumar S/O-Triveni Kumar Yadav, Vill-Sakrohiya, P.S.-Bithan, Dist-Samastipur

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr.Madhav Kumar, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr.Renu Kumari, APP
For the Informant	:	Mr. Umesh Kumar Yadav, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

4 18-02-2026 Heard the parties.

2. This is the second attempt of the petitioner for grant of regular bail in connection with Bithan P.S. Case No. 184 of 2022 registered for the offence under Section 395 of the Indian Penal Code. Earlier the bail application of the petitioner was rejected vide order dated 07.08.2024 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 51778 of 2024 which reads as under:-

*Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner
and learned APP for the State.*

*2. The petitioner seeks regular bail in the
present case registered for the offence under Section 392
of the Indian Penal Code.*

*3. As per the prosecution case, Rs. 86,000/-
was looted from the informant by three unknown*



criminals after they threatened the informant with pistol.

4. In the self-inculpatory statement of the co-accused, the name of the petitioner has come. Sufficient materials have come during investigation to connect the petitioner with the crime. Petitioner is also accused in a case under the Arms Act and he is in jail since 17.03.2024.

5. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances, I am not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

6. Accordingly, this application for regular bail is rejected.

3. It has been submitted by the learned counsel for the petitioner that the charges are framed and trial has started.

4. Considering the aforesaid facts, this Court finds no ground to review its earlier order.

5. Accordingly, this application is dismissed again.

(Sandeep Kumar, J)

tusharika/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

