**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.57468 of 2026**

**CNR No. BRHC010827372026**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-110 Year-2026 Thana- MASAUDHI District- Patna

==========

Saloni Kumari @ Salini Kumari, W/o Late Bikki Kumar, R/o Dih Masaurhi, P.S.- Masaurhi, Distt.- Patna, Bihar

... ... Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... ... Opposite Party/s

==========

**Appearance :**
For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Niraj Kumar, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Prem Kumar Jha, APP
For the Informant : Mr. Kamlesh Kumar, Advocate
: Mr. Amit Kumar, Advocate

==========

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE DR. ANSHUMAN**

ORAL ORDER

4 27-10-2026 Heard learned Counsel for the petitioner, learned Counsel for the informant and learned APP for the State.

2. The present criminal miscellaneous application has been filed under Sections 483 and 484 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (hereinafter referred to as 'the BNSS, 2023') for grant of regular bail to the petitioner who is in custody in connection with Masaurhi P.S. Case No. 110 of 2026, lodged on 17.02.2026, under Sections 103(1), 238 and 3(5) of the BNS, 2023 pending in the Court of learned Sub-Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Masaurhi, District- Patna.

3. As per the prosecution, the FIR has been lodged against three named accused persons including the present

WEB COPY

petitioner against whom there is allegation of abduction and killing of the brother of the informant.

4. Learned Counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence. Counsel submits that the name of the petitioner has come only and only by virtue of suspicion in this case. Counsel submits that during investigation, only confession of co-accused has come in which it has been alleged that she is the conspirator in this case and against such conspiracy the role of the petitioner has also not been specified. Learned Counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner is in custody since 28.02.2026 having clean antecedent.

5. Learned Counsel for the informant, on the other hand, vehemently opposes the prayer for bail of the petitioner and submits that the suspicion raised in the FIR, the material came in the investigation are fully supportive with each other. Counsel submits that the name of the petitioner has figured by virtue of the confessional statement and the said confessional statement is not only confession rather corroborative in nature as the dead body of the victim has been recovered only after confession of the co-accused who has disclosed the involvement of the petitioner in this case.

WEB COPY

6. Learned APP for the State, on the other hand, opposes the prayer for bail of the petitioner and submits that name of the petitioner has come in this case by virtue of disclosure of the person on whose statement dead body was recovered.

7. Considering the nature of allegation, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner. Hence, the prayer for bail of the petitioner is hereby refused.

**(Dr. Anshuman, J)**

Anjali/-

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| **U** | | T | |

WEB COPY