IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.58080 of 2026

CNR No. BRHC010826632026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-173 Year-2026 Thana- CHHAURADANO District- East Champaran

==========

Ranjeet Kumar Son of Gajadhar Ray Resident of Village- Murli, Ward No. 10, P.S.- Chhauradano, District- East Champaran

... ... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. X Son of Y Resident of Village- Shripur, P.S.- Chhauradano, District- East Champaran

... ... Opposite Party/s

==========

Appearance :
For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Karandeep Kumar, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Anant Kumar 1, APP

==========

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE KUMAR MANISH

ORAL ORDER

5 19-11-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned A.P.P. on behalf of the State.

2. This application is filed for grant of regular bail to the petitioner who has been made accused in connection with Chhauradano P.S. Case No. 173 of 2026, registered for the offences punishable under Sections 65(1), 351(2) of the B.N.S. and Sections 4, 6, 14, 17 of the P.O.C.S.O. Act and Sections 66E, 67, 67A, 67B of the I.T. Act.

3. As per the prosecution, the informant alleged that his younger sister, aged about 16 years, used to go to the field to collect grass, where the petitioner, namely, Ranjeet Kumar, aged

WEB COPY

about 19 years, came in contact with her and obtained her mobile number. The petitioner allegedly established physical relationship with the victim and created videos of the same. When the victim stopped talking to the petitioner, he allegedly threatened to make the videos viral and circulated her obscene videos on WhatsApp, Facebook and Instagram. It is alleged that on 15.05.2026, the informant, along with other villagers, went to the house of one Gajadhar Ray, where the petitioner and his family members allegedly threatened the informant and the villagers.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence as alleged in the FIR and has been falsely implicated in the present case. It is submitted that the petitioner and the victim were in friendship for the last two years and the informant was disliking their friendship due to which the petitioner has falsely been implicated in this case.

5. It is submitted that the allegations of recording and circulating obscene videos are false and fabricated and no incriminating material was collected during investigation. Further, it is submitted that there is no F.S.L. certification of the alleged videos and hence, the offences under the I.T. Act are not

WEB COPY

attracted.

6. It is submitted that the statement of the victim recorded under Section 183 of the B.N.S.S. is exaggerated and under coercion, as contended by the petitioner. It is submitted on behalf of the petitioner that the petitioner is a student and his entire career is at stake.

7. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that investigation has been completed and charge-sheet has been filed against the petitioner and the petitioner is in judicial custody since 20.05.2026 having a clean antecedent.

8. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner.

9. Considering the fact that the petitioner is a student of 19 years of age and his entire career is at stake and also taking into consideration the period of custody and the materials available on record, the petitioner, above named, is directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (Ten thousand rupees) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the Court of learned 7th District and Additional Sessions Judge-cum-Special Judge, POCSO Act, East Champaran, Motihari in connection with Chhauradano P.S. Case No. 173 of 2026 on the following conditions:-

WEB COPY

(i) That one of the bailors should be family member of the petitioner.

(ii) That the petitioner will cooperate in the trial and will remain present on all dates personally or should be duly represented through his counsel and whenever required to be physically present by the Court, he should physically appear in Court on that date.

(iii) If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or threatens the witnesses, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

(Kumar Manish, J)

Ritwika/-

U		T	

WEB COPY