IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.61466 of 2026

CNR No. BRHC010824282026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-15 Year-2015 Thana- ANDHRATHARHI District- Madhubani

==========

Sukat Kanu @ Kukrit Kanu @ Sukrit Kanu Son of Ramdev Sahu Resident of Village- Pastan, P.S.- Andhrathari, District- Madhubani.

... ... Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... ... Opposite Party/s

==========

Appearance :
For the Petitioner : Mr. Hriday Narayan Harshit, Advocate
For the State : Ms. Pushpa Sinha 1, APP

==========

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH

ORAL ORDER

3 29-10-2026 Heard learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner and learned APP appearing on behalf of the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case registered for the offence punishable under Section 366A of the Indian Penal Code.

3. As per prosecution case, informant, namely Raghunandan Sah, alleged that on 02.02.2015 at about 9 PM, his 16 year old minor daughter was enticed and abducted by co-accused Devsunder Ram @ Dev who was working as a tractor driver for one Ramdev Sah and despite search, the victim could not be traced.

4. It is submitted by learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner that petitioner is quite innocent and has

WEB COPY

committed no offence. Petitioner has falsely been implicated in this case merely because he happens to be close friend of co-accused Devsunder Ram. Police, after detailed investigation, submitted final form on 31.01.2016 itself and petitioner was not sent up for trial, however, differing with the same, the learned trial court took cognizance on 09.10.2025. The victim in her statement recorded under Section 164 of the Cr.P.C. has not alleged any wrong against this petitioner. It is further submitted that during pendency of this case, the alleged victim and co-accused Devsunder Ram have already solemnized marriage and both of them are living together as husband and wife. Petitioner claims clean antecedents.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, general and omnibus nature of accusation, statement of the victim recorded under Section 164 of the Cr.P.C. and clean antecedents of the petitioner, the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner is allowed.

7. Accordingly, in the event of arrest/surrender within a period of eight weeks from today, let the above named

WEB COPY

petitioner be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail-bond of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned A.C.J.M.-II, Jhanjharpur, District- Madhubani in connection with Andhratharhi P.S. Case No. 15 of 2015, subject to condition as laid down under Section 482(2) of the B.N.S.S..

(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J)

shashank/-

U		T	

WEB COPY