

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.14904 of 2025

=====

Suresh Kumar Rai Son of Late Shyam Rath Rai Resident of Village-Mujiyan
P.S.- Kudra, District-Kaimur (Bihar).

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Department of Revenue and Land Reforms, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Director, Consolidation, Bihar, Patna.
3. The Joint Director, Consolidation, Bihar, Patna.
4. Shri Ram Rai Son of Late Ghurbigan Rai Resident of Village-Mujiyan, P.S.- Kudra, District-Kaimur (Bihar).
5. Rama Nandan Rai Son of Late Deo Raj Rai Resident of Village-Mujiyan, P.S.-Kudra, District-Kaimur (Bihar).
6. Hridya Nand Rai Son of Late Deo Raj Rai Resident of Village-Mujiyan, P.S.-Kudra, District-Kaimur (Bihar).

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Nirbhay Prashant, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Ramadhar Singh, GP-25

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

3 06-01-2026 Heard the parties.

2. The present petition has been preferred for the
following relief(s):

*“i. Issue an appropriate writ/writs,
order/orders or direction/directions for
quashing and setting aside the entire
revision proceeding of Revision Case No. 05
of 2021 initiated or entertained at the behest
of private respondent(s), as the matter*



already stood finally adjudicated earlier in Revision Case Nos. 1393, 1394, and 1395 of 1988, which were preferred by Ghurbigan Rai against the appellate order dated 11.04.1988 passed in Appeal Nos. 456, 457, and 458, and the same were rejected by the competent revisional authority vide order dated 24.09.1988, thereby upholding the appellate orders in favour of the petitioner's predecessor-in-interest;

ii. In the alternative, and without prejudice to the relief sought in paragraph (i) above, command the respondent authorities, particularly the Director, Consolidation, Bihar, Patna (Respondent No. 2), to dispose of Revision Case No. 05/2021 expeditiously within a time-bound period, preferably within a period of three months, in accordance with law;

iii. Pass such other order/order's as may be deemed fit and proper in the facts and circumstances of the case and in the



interest of justice.”

3. On 23.09.2025, an order was passed to issue notice to the respondent nos. 4 to 6 within a period of three weeks.

4. As it was a peremptory order and the defect(s) was not removed, it got dismissed on 07.10.2025.

5. Perused the file and the same stands consigned.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

Adnan/-

U			
---	--	--	--

