

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.58006 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-45 Year-2024 Thana- AZIMABAD District- Bhojpur

Subedar Yadav S/o Late Vidha Yadav R/o Village - Milki Baga, P.S -
Azimabad, District - Bhojpur

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Shiv Prasad Gupta, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Ms. Pushpa Sinha.1, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE JUSTICE SMT. SONI SHRIVASTAVA

ORAL ORDER

4 12-02-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in a case registered for
the offence punishable under Sections 304B, 201 and 34 of the
Indian Penal Code.

3. As per the prosecution case, the petitioner along
with other co-accused persons are said to have tortured and
killed the sister of the informant due to non-fulfillment of dowry
demand.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits
that the petitioner, who is the husband of the deceased, has not
indulged in any demand of dowry and torture rather she had
committed suicide by consuming poison. The petitioner is in
custody since 24.10.2025 and after charges having been framed
on 20.02.2025, no witness has been examined on behalf of the
prosecution.

5. Learned APP for the State opposed the grant of



bail on account of the fact that the petitioner is the husband of the deceased and there is direct and specific allegation.

6. Taking into consideration the facts and circumstances and considering the fact that the petitioner, who is primarily responsible for the welfare of his wife and also considering the fact that after framing of charge on 20.02.2025, trial has proceed and on 21.01.2026 one witness, who is father of the deceased, has already been examined, as such, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner at this stage. Accordingly, the prayer of the petitioner for bail is hereby rejected in connection with Azimabad P.S. Case No.45 of 2024.

7. However, the learned Trial Court is directed to proceed expeditiously in the matter without giving unnecessary adjournments and preferably conclude the trial within a period of six months, failing which the petitioner would be at liberty to renew his prayer for bail.

(Soni Shrivastava, J)

anand/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

