

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.20082 of 2021

-
1. Vishwa Nath Prasad son of Late Kripa Mahto @ Late Kripa Shankar Prasad, Resident of Kh no. 48/17 Gali 37 F2, B Block, near Balaji Mandir, Kaushik Enclave Burari North Delhi, Delhi. At present resident of A-38, B-7, Shalimar Garokh Ext-2 Sahibabad Gaziabad (U.P.).
 2. Vijay Kumar Prasad Son of late Kripa Mahto @ Late Kripa Shankar Prasad Resident of Kh no. 48/17 Gali 37 F2, B Block, near Balaji Mandir, Kaushik Enclave Burari North Delhi, Delhi. At present resident of A-38, B-7, Shalimar Garokh Ext-2 Sahibabad Gaziabad (U.P.).

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the District Magistrate, Motihari.
2. The District Magistrate, Motihari.
3. The Sub-Divisional Magistrate, Sikarhanna, East Champaran.
4. The Circle Officer, Dhaka, East Champaran.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Usha Kumari Singh, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Md. Khurshid Alam, AAG-12

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SOURENDRA PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

4 23-03-2026 Heard the learned counsel for the parties.

2. The petitioner has filed the present application for issuance of a writ of mandamus upon the respondent authorities to consider his grievances, which have been raised by filing a representation, one being Annexure-1 to the writ petition.

3. From the contents of the pleadings made in the writ application, it seems that the petitioner is aggrieved by the fact that a drainage is constructed on his raiyati land without his NOC and to which he has been objecting to, however, despite



raising his grievances before the respondent authorities, no steps have been taken to stop such construction.

4. Learned AC to AAG-12 does not oppose the fact that the writ petition be disposed of with a liberty to the petitioner to approach the District Magistrate, Motihari, East Champaran, with a fresh representation raising all his grievances as raised in the present application, who shall consider the case of the petitioner after taking into account the documents produced by him and after giving him an opportunity of hearing and pass a reasoned order within three months from the date/production of the present order.

5. The application stands disposed off with the aforesaid liberty.

(Sourendra Pandey, J)

manoj/-

U			
---	--	--	--

