

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.60122 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-750 Year-2023 Thana- SAHARSA SADAR District- Saharsa

AMIT KUMAR S/o- VINAY KUMAR SINGH R/o- New Professor Colony,
township Road Dinkar Nagar, Pipra Ps Dist- Begusarai. Petitioner/s
Versus

1. The State of Bihar.
2. Bajaj Allianz Life Insurance Co. Ltd. Through its Branch Manager,
Saharsa. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Ashok Kumar, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Abhay Kumar, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA SHEKHAR JHA
ORAL ORDER

6 03-02-2026 Heard learned counsel appearing on behalf of the

petitioner and learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing on

behalf of the State.

2. The accused/petitioner apprehending his arrest in
connection with Saharsa Sadar P.S. Case No. 750 of 2023
registered for the offences punishable under Sections 406, 419,
420, 467, 468, 471, 472, 120B of the Indian Penal Code and
Section 66 (D) of the I.T. Act, 2000.

3. As per FIR, petitioner alleged to cheat
informant/complainant to the tune of Rs. 14,77,169/- which was
deposited with M/s Bajaj Allianz Life Insurance Company Limited,
where the complainant was the Senior Branch Manager.

4. It is submitted by learned counsel appearing on
behalf of the petitioner that admittedly in the terms of allegation,



the only allegation which is available against this petitioner is to use his desktop by other co-accused persons who were none but the colleagues of the petitioner. It is submitted that admittedly the system was logging with other user ID and Password, which is not connected in any manner with this petitioner and, moreover, the amount which was alleged to withdrawn illegally was never transferred to the account of this petitioner, rather it was transferred to the account of one Nigam Singh and, as such, this petitioner is also not appears beneficiary of the alleged illegal withdrawal. Petitioner claimed clean antecedent.

5. Learned APP opposed the prayer of bail.

6. Learned counsel Mr. Dhananjay Kashyap, appearing on behalf of the informant, while opposing the prayer of anticipatory bail submitted that the petitioner involved actively during the occurrence and his desktop was used by other co-accused persons, which shows his connivance with other accused persons, however he could not disputed the factual submission as submitted aforesaid by learned counsel appearing for the petitioner.

7. In view of aforesaid factual submissions and by taking note of fact as admittedly the transactions was not made with user ID and password of petitioner, where he also not appears



the beneficiary of alleged transactions, accordingly, above named petitioner, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned trial Court, within a period of four weeks of the order, is directed to be released on bail furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Sessions Judge, Saharsa/concerned Court, where the case is pending in connection with Saharsa Sadar P.S. Case No. 750 of 2023 subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482(2) of the BNSS.

(Chandra Shekhar Jha, J)

veena/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

