

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.61959 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-607 Year-2024 Thana- MAKHDUMPUR District- Jehanabad

Chandu Kumar @ Chandan Kumar @ Chintu Kumar S/O Narayan Mallah @
Narayan Kewat R/O Village- Tehta, P.S.- Makhdumpur, (Tehta), Dist.-
Jehanabad.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Pratyaksha, Adv Mr. Mahima Kumari, Adv Mr. Mritunjay Kumar, Adv
For the Opposite Party/s :		Mr. Umeshanand Pandit, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ALOK KUMAR SINHA
ORAL ORDER

5 17-01-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in connection with Makhdumpur P.S. Case No. 607 of 2024, registered for the offence punishable under Sections 126(2), 127(2), 307, 308(3), 109, 117(2), 351(2), and 3(5) of the B.N.S., 2023.

3. The case of the prosecution, in short, is that the informant Harshraj who has received a phone call and asked him to come in the lane near the street at about 8:19 in the morning on 05.12.2024, in response of the call as soon as he reached the aforesaid lane, he saw Bittu Kumar along with other accused persons, namely, Raushan Kumar and Chandu Kumar were present there. Bittu Kumar demanded extortion money two



lakhs on the gun point and also snatched five thousand rupees from his pocket and told him to give extortion money of Rs. 10,000/- every month. Thereafter, all the accused persons assaulted him by means of 'khanti' and 'butt' of country made pistol (katta) upon which he suffered serious injury and blood started oozing out from his head and he became unconscious. After getting this information, his brother Rakesh Kumar @ Raushan and other persons reached there upon which the accused persons also assaulted his brother due to which his left hand got fractured. When other persons rushed there, the accused persons ran away.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence. He has falsely been implicated in the present case. He further submits that the informant had earlier also lodged a case against the petitioner in which the same allegation has been levelled against the petitioner.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer of anticipatory bail.

6. Considering the fact that the same informant had earlier also lodged a case against the petitioner under Sections 191(2), 126(2), 115(2), 110, 351(2), and 351(3) of the B.N.S., in



which the petitioner has already been granted anticipatory bail vide order dated 08.10.2025 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 61863 of 2025 and in the present case also, similar allegation has been levelled against the petitioner, it cannot be ruled out that the informant is in the habit of lodging these kind of cases against the petitioner. There has been no recovery from the petitioner and other than the only criminal antecedent as noted hereinabove, there is no other criminal antecedent against the petitioner. Under these circumstances, this Court is inclined to grant privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

7. Let the petitioner, named above, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the Court below within four weeks from today, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (Ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned A.C.J.M.-I, Jehanabad in connection with Makhdumpur P.S. Case No. 607 of 2024, subject to the conditions laid down under section 482(2) of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023.

(Alok Kumar Sinha, J)

kiran/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

