IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.57254 of 2026

CNR No. BRHC010802952026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-58 Year-2026 Thana- Cyber P.S. District- Muzaffarpur

==========

Krishna Kumar, S/o Ram Gulam Mahto, Resident of Village- Ramdaspur, P.S.- Goraul, Distt.- Vaishali

... ... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Cyber Crime Security Unit, through S.P. Cyber Security Patna

... ... Opposite Party/s

==========

Appearance :
For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Shivnandan Bharti, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Anuj Kumar Shrivastava, APP

==========

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE DR. ANSHUMAN

ORAL ORDER

5 27-10-2026 Heard learned Counsel for the petitioner and learned APP for the State.

2. The present criminal miscellaneous application has been filed under Sections 483 and 484 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (hereinafter referred to as 'the BNSS, 2023') for grant of regular bail to the petitioner who is in custody in connection with Cyber P.S. Case No. 58 of 2026, lodged on 16.04.2026, under Sections 316(2), 318(2), 318(4) and 319(2) of the B.N.S., 2023 as well as under Sections 66C and 66D of the Information Technology Act, pending in the Court of Judicial Magistrate, 1st Class, Muzaffarpur.

3. It has been alleged by the informant that since

WEB COPY

05.04.2026 the informant has received several video calls from several mobile numbers. It has been further alleged that he was forced to transfer rupees seventeen lakhs in the bank account of Brajesh Kumar. Thereafter, he got knowledge that some one had committed Cyber fraud.

4. Learned Counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence. Counsel submits that criminal antecedent of the petitioner is clean. Counsel submits that charge-sheet has already been submitted. Counsel submits that petitioner is in custody since 01.05.2026.

5. Learned APP for the State, on the other hand, opposes the prayer for bail of the petitioner and submits that in para-3 of the bail petition it has been stated that the antecedent of the petitioner is clean. However, in counter-affidavit it has come that antecedent of the petitioner is not clean.

6. Considering the nature of crime, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner. Hence, the prayer for bail of the petitioner is hereby refused.

(Dr. Anshuman, J)

Anjali/-

U		T	

WEB COPY