IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.56722 of 2026

CNR No. BRHC010800472026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-60 Year-2026 Thana- DHANSOI District- Buxar

==========

Upendra Seth S/o Lalan Seth R/o Village - Chhatupur, P.S. - Dhansoi, Distt. - Buxar.

... ... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. XX S/o YY R/o Village - Chhatupur, P.S. - Dhansoi, Distt. - Buxar.

... ... Opposite Party/s

==========

Appearance :
For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Rang Nath Choubey, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Dilip Kumar No. 1, A.P.P

==========

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ALOK KUMAR

ORAL ORDER

4 29-10-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks regular bail in connection with Dhansoi P.S. Case No. 60 of 2026 lodged on 03.06.2026, for the offences punishable under Sections 77, 79, 351(2) and 352 of B.N.S, 2023, Sections 14 and 15 of POCSO Act and Sections 66E, 67A and 67B of I.T Act, pending in the Court of learned District and Addl. District Judge-VI cum Spl. Judge POCSO Act, Buxar.

3. The prosecution case, in brief, is that the victim's father submitted a written complaint to the police after a boy from his village showed him an obscene video of his minor

WEB COPY

daughter. It is alleged that the victim disclosed that the petitioner, enticed her with money, called her behind the village Anganwadi at 3:00 A.M., and recorded a nude video of her on his mobile phone. It is further alleged that the video was transferred to a friend's phone and circulated across the village on social media platforms including Facebook and Instagram. When the victim's father went to petitioner's house to confront him, the accused verbally abused him and threatened to kill him.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in this case. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that the petitioner has clean antecedents and is in custody since 04.06.2026. The counsel lastly submits that the informant is a blackmailer and used his daughter to extort money under the guise of a compromise.

5. The learned APP for the State vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner.

6. Having heard the parties and taking into account the gravity and nature of offence, prima facie involvement of the petitioner in recording and circulation of the victim's obscene video, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner at this stage.

WEB COPY

7. Accordingly, the prayer for regular bail of the petitioner is hereby rejected.

8. It is expected that the Trial Court will conclude the trial within a period of six months.

(Alok Kumar, J)

Bipin/-

U		T	

WEB COPY