IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.2072 of 2021
In
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.2295 of 2012

CNR No. BRHC010797642021

==========

1. Smt. Nirmala Pandey, Wife of Sri Birendra Nath Pandey, Resident of Vill. and P.O. - Barhara, P.S. - Barhara, District - Bhojpur.

... ... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. Rajesh Meena through Registrar Co-operative Societies, Bihar, Patna.
2. Bijay Singh, Chairman, Bihar State Housing Co-operative Federation Limited, Lalit Bhawan, Bailey Road, Patna.
3. Ravindra Thakur, President, Abhiyanta Sahakari Grih Nirman Samiti, Abhiyanta Nagar, Ashiana Road, Patna.
4. Dinanath Ojha, Secretary, Sri Ram Nagari Sahakari Grih/Nirman Samiti, Ram Nagari, Ashiana Road, Patna.
5. Sri Ravindra Thakur, Son of Late Ram Dhari Thakur, Resident of Vijay Nagar, Rukunpura, P.S. - Rupaspur, District - Patna.
6. Smt. Nirmala Thakur, Wife of Sri Ravindra Thakur, Resident of Vijay Nagar, Rukunpura, P.S.- Rupaspur, District - Patna.

... ... Opposite Party/s

==========

Appearance :
For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ram Prawesh Kumar, Advocate
For the State : Mr. Kautilya Kumar Prasad, GA-12
Mr. Abhinav Alok, AC to GA-12
For the O.P. No. 2 : Mr. Rajesh Prasad Chaurasia, Advocate

==========

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA KUMAR

ORAL ORDER

5 27-10-2026 Learned counsel for the petitioner and learned counsel for the State are present.

2. The present petition has been preferred by the petitioner for initiating contempt proceeding for alleged willful disobedience of the order dated 23.12.2011 passed by a co-ordinate Bench of this Court in CWJC No. 23194 of 2011, titled

WEB COPY

Sri Ram Nagari Cooperative Housing Construction & Anr. vs. The State of Bihar and Ors. Undisputedly, this writ is still sub judice i.e. it is pending consideration of this Court and admittedly petitioner is not a party in that writ petition.

3. As such, this Contempt petition on behalf of the petitioner is not maintainable.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner fairly concedes that he has no *locus standi* to file the present Contempt petition. However, he is seeking liberty to take any remedy available to him as per law.

5. Accordingly, the present writ petition is dismissed as not maintainable for want of any *locus standi* of the petitioner.

6. However, the petitioner has liberty to take any legal remedy as per law to redress her grievance.

(Jitendra Kumar, J.)

S. Ali/Prakash/-

U			

WEB COPY