

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.17591 of 2019**

=====

Sumitra Devi W/o Late Devnandan Prasad Resident of village- Daniawan,  
Post and P.S.- Daniawan, Dist- Patna, at present Mohalla - Chaitnya Nagar,  
Bhagwagt Nagar, Kumharar, P.S.- AgamKuan, Dist- Patna.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through, Principal Secretary, Bihar land and Revenue Department, Patna.
2. The District Magistrate Patna.
3. The District Land Acquisition Officer Patna Samaharnalay, Patna.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

**Appearance :**

|                      |   |                                    |
|----------------------|---|------------------------------------|
| For the Petitioner/s | : | Mr. Anand Kumar, Advocate          |
| For the State        | : | Mr. Rishi Raj Sinha, SC-19         |
|                      | : | Mr. Manoj Kumar Sinha, AC to SC-19 |

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY**  
**ORAL ORDER**

3      19-01-2026                      Heard the parties.

2. The present petition has been preferred for the  
grant of following relief(s):

*“(i) for issuance of an appropriate writ in the nature of Mandamus for commanding and directing the respondent authorities concerned to make payment of compensation amount to the petitioner with interest @ 18% to the petitioner for acquired land.*

*(ii) for issuance of any other appropriate writ/writs, order/orders, direction/directions for which the writ petitioner*



*will be found entitled in the facts and circumstances of the case.”*

3. A counter affidavit has come on behalf of the respondent no.2 and 3 and learned State counsel has taken this Court to paragraph nos. 6 and 7 to show that as per the State Government, so far as the share of Sumitra Devi is concerned, the 100% compensation amount has been given to her (Rs.14,87,608/-)

4. Though learned counsel for the petitioner dispute the same, a perusal of the notice would show that reply was received by his on office on 17.02.2020 and there is no rebuttal/rejoinder to that.

5. Allowing her to agitate the matter if she still has any grievance, this Court being convinced with the averment made by the State respondents in the counter affidavit, the writ petition is disposed of.

**(Rajiv Roy, J)**

vinayak/-

|   |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|
| U |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|

