IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.54750 of 2026

CNR No. BRHC010777032026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-1260 Year-2021 Thana- PATNA COMPLAINT CASE District-Patna

==========

1. Ram Sharan Priyavatsallam S/o Late Proff Babu Lal Ray R/o Mohalla- Nand Lal Tola, Bank Colony, Nehru Chowk, P.S.- Chapra Town, District-Saran
2. Kumari Umarawati Devi W/o Ram Sharan Priyavatsallam R/o Mohalla- Nand Lal Tola, Bank Colony, Nehru Chowk, P.S.- Chapra Town, District-Saran

... ... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar Bihar
2. Ruby Kumari D/o Ram Nath Prasad Yadav R/o Mohalla - Golghar, Shiv Mandir Galu, P.S.- Gandhi Maidan, Distt.- Patna

... ... Opposite Party/s

==========

Appearance :
For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Sanjay Kumar Singh, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Shahabuddin Azeem @ S. Azeem, Adv.

==========

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY

ORAL ORDER

5 04-11-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and the State as also the informant.

2. The petitioners are apprehending arrest in connection with Complaint Case No. 1260(c) of 2021 under sections 498(A), 448, 323, 307, 294, 354, 506, 509/34 of the Indian Penal Code and 3/4 of the Dowry Prohibition Act.

3. As per the prosecution story, the lady was married to Anil Sharan on 25.06.2019 but was tortured from day one. The allegation is that she had to leave the place and returned to her matrimonial home. Further, upon death of mother-in-law,

WEB COPY

she came to the house but once again was forced to leave the place. At times, the accused persons tried to kill her and wanted to give it a colour of suicide and only after timely help of the neighbour, she was saved. Ultimately, she left the place, whereafter, the complaint.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submit that admittedly, the lady is married to Anil Sharan. These two petitioners are brother-in-law (bhaisur) and sister-in-law (gotni) and the contention is that they had absolutely no knowledge of the cognizance taken against them. The further submission is that the mediation is going on between the husband, Anil Sharan and the lady before the learned Sessions Court.

5. Learned counsel representing the informant/complainant on the other hand though accept the points put forward by the learned counsel for the petitioner that mediation is going on between the husband and the wife but submits that these two people are the reason behind the discord between the couple and has also led to matrimonial (Divorce Case No. 1581 of 2024) pending before the learned Principal Judge, Family Court, Patna.

6. Considering the submissions of the parties as also the facts that are on record, the mediation is going on between

WEB COPY

the couple, these two petitioners are in-laws and have assured that they shall see to it that the matter amicably settled between the parties, this Court is inclined to extend them the privilege of anticipatory bail.

7. Let the petitioners be released on bail, in the event of their arrest or surrender before the concerned court within a period of four weeks from the receipt of this order, on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each in connection with Complaint Case No. 1260(c) of 2021 to the satisfaction of learned SDJM, Patna subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C. as also the other conditions.

(i) one of the bailor should be the family member/relative of the petitioners who shall provide official document (Aadhar Card/Driving License/Voter ID/Pan Card etc.) to show his/her bona fide;

(ii) The petitioners shall be appearing before the police station as and when required for cooperating in the investigation;

(iii) the petitioners shall appear on each and every date before the Trial Court and failure to do so for two consecutive dates without plausible reason will entail

WEB COPY

cancellation of their bail bond by the Trial Court itself;

(iv) the petitioners shall in no way try to induce or promise or threat the witnesses or tamper with the evidences, failing which the State shall be at liberty to take steps for cancellation of the bail bonds;

(vi) the petitioners shall desist from committing any criminal offence again, failing which the State shall be at liberty to take steps for cancellation of their bail bonds.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

Ravi/-

U		T	

WEB COPY