

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.12309 of 2023

Meera Devi, Wife of Late Yogendra Sharma, Resident of Village- Chainpur,
P.O. and P.S.-Sakurabad, District- Jehanabad, Bihar, Pin Code-804425.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Education Department, Govt. of Bihar, Vikash Bhawan, Bailey Road, Patna-800015
2. The Director, Secondary Education, Education Department, Vikash Bhawan, Bailey Road, Patna.
3. The Director Directorate General Provident Fund, Finance Building, Gardanibagh, Patna.
4. The District Magistrate cum Collector, Jehanabad, District- Jehanabad.
5. The District Provident Fund Officer, Jehanabad, District- Jehanabad.
6. The District Education Officer, Jehanabad, District- Jehanabad.
7. The District Programme Officer (Establishment), Jehanabad, District- Jehanabad.
8. The District Treasury Officer, Jehanabad, District- Jehanabad.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Shashi Saurabh, Advocate
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr. Umesh Narayan Dubey, AC o GP- 27

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE HARISH KUMAR
ORAL JUDGMENT

Date : 30-06-2026

Heard learned Advocate for the petitioner and the
learned Advocate for the State.

2. The petitioner is a hapless widow of late
Yogendra Sharma, who died in harness while working as an
Assistant Teacher in Gandhi Memorial Inter School, Jehanabad,
has prayed for the following reliefs:

*“(i) For direction to the Respondent
no.5, the District Provident Fund Officer*



Jehanabad to recompile and recalculate (a) the Statutory rate of interest @12½ % for the period July 1985 (1985-86) to Feb.1999 (1998-99) in the light of Resolution no.4184 and 4193 dated 13.07.1985 (Annexure-1 and 1/A). (b) Interest calculate on the basis of Treasury Voucher Number (In short T.V.No.) and Encashment date in the light of circular no.1856 dated 21.04.2001 (Annexure-04) (c) Rs.60,000,00 of Deposit linked Insurance Scheme in the light of Circular No.4748 F)2) dated 27.07.2006 (Annexure-05) (d) Statutory up-to-date interest from September 2013 to till the date of actual payment in the light of Notification no.3373 dated 06.05.1988 (Annexure-2) and Remaining amount due to be paid to the petitioner, be paid within two months from the date of order.

(ii) For direction to the Respondent no.05 the District Provident Fund Officer, Jehanabad to furnish the deduction statement from July 1985 to July 2013, collectoral evidence, calculation chart from July 1985 to till the date of actual payment.

(iii) For any other order or direction which your Lordships may deem fit and appropriate in the interest of justice, equity and good conscience.”

3. A counter affidavit has been filed on behalf of respondent nos. 3 and 5 and it is stated that with respect to



interest over the GPF amount at the rate of 12.5% for the period 01.07.1985 to February, 1999, the same has been calculated, sanctioned and the statement of account has been enclosed with the authority letter. Further, the grievance of the petitioner for payment of the amount of Deposit Linked Insurance is concerned, the same has also been redressed.

4. One another counter affidavit has also been filed on behalf of respondent nos. 6 and 7 wherein it is stated that all the admissible amount has been sanctioned and credited in the account of the petitioner on 27.02.2024.

5. Learned Advocate for the petitioner submitted that in absence of the statement of account with respect to payment under the Provident Fund, the petitioner, who is a hapless widow, is facing difficulty to comprehend as to whether all the admissible amount has been paid or not.

6. Considering the submissions advanced by the learned Advocate for the respective parties and taking note of the specific contention made in the counter affidavits filed by the respondent authorities, this Court does not find any occasion to continue with the proceeding.

7. Accordingly, the writ petition stands closed. However, if the petitioner has any grievance with respect to any



admissible payment under any head, she shall be at liberty to file a representation before the appropriate authority.

8. In case, such a representation is filed by the petitioner, the same shall be considered and disposed of in accordance with law expeditiously.

(Harish Kumar, J)

uday/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	NA
Uploading Date	01.07.2026
Transmission Date	NA

