

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.2577 of 2025

In
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.11779 of 2024

=====

Nand Kishore Mishra Son of Late Vyas Mishra, Resident of Village- Korma,
Police Station- Ghoshi, District- Jehanabad.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. Shri Dipak Kr. Singh, the Additional Chief Secretary, Department of Revenue and Land Reforms Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. Alankrita Pandey, the District Magistrate, Jehanabad.
3. Shri Jay Singh, the Director, Special Land Settlement, Bihar at Patna.
4. Shri Prabhat Kumar Gaur, the District Settlement Officer-cum- District Level Survey Officer, Jehanabad.
5. Shri Upendra Prasad, Special Land Settlement Officer, Jehanabad.
6. Shri Aash Kumar, Assistant Settlement Officer-cum-Special Land Survey Camp, Incharge, Modanganj, District- Jehanabad.

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Dharmendra Chaubey, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Md. Zeeshan Kalim, AC to SC-20

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH MISHRA

ORAL ORDER

4 18-03-2026 Heart the parties.

2. The present application has been filed for issuance
of following relief/s:

*“1. That this application is being
filed for issuance of contempt proceeding
against the Opposite Parties including
Opposite Party No. 5 for wilful disobedience
of the Hon'ble Court's order dated
08.08.2024 passed by Hon'ble Mr. Justice*



Rudra Prakash Mishra, in C.W.J.C. No.11779 of 2024 by which this Hon'ble Court directed the Opposite Party No. 5 to dispose of the application dated 08.02.2024 in accordance with law by passing a reasoned and speaking order within a period of three months from the date of receipt/production of a copy of this order and further to pass any other order or orders for which this petitioner found entitled."

3. Learned State counsel has taken this Court to the relevant paragraphs of the show cause filed on behalf of the opposite parties to demonstrate that the order dated 08.08.2024 has been complied with by passing a reasoned and speaking order dated 11.07.2025.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner, referring to the rejoinder, has submitted that there has been inordinate delay in compliance of the order and the same amounts to wilful disobedience. It has also been contended that the stand taken in the show cause is not in accordance with law.

5. In that background, considering that the order has ultimately been complied with, allowing the petitioner to agitate



the matter, if any grievance still remains, the present contempt
petition is disposed of.

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

Alok Verma/-

U			
---	--	--	--

