

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.11746 of 2023

=====

Zeenat Ara Wife of Sayed Zafir Hyder, R/o - 28 ka Tausif Manison Sher Shan Colony, Samanpura, Rajabazar, Rukanpura, P.O. B.V College, P.S- Airport, Patna, District- Patna.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar Through Chief Secretary, Government of Bihar, Bihar at Patna.
2. The Additional Chief Secretary, Human Resources Development Department, Govt. of Bihar at Patna.
3. The Additional Chief Secretary, Education Department, Govt of Bihar at Patna.
4. The Principal Secretary, Education Department, Govt. of Bihar at Patna.
5. The Director, Secondary Education Department, Govt of Bihar at Patna.
6. The Principal, B.N.R Training College, Gulzarbagh, Patna.
7. The Accountant General, Government of Bihar.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Sourav Suman, Advocate Mr. Pragati Patra, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Umesh Narayan Dubey, A.C. to G.P.- 27
For the A.G., Bihar	:	Mr. Anand Kumar, Advocate Ms. Vijeta Kumari, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE HARISH KUMAR
ORAL JUDGMENT

Date : 30-06-2026

Learned Advocate for the respective parties are present.

2. The counter affidavit has been filed on behalf of respondent nos. 5 and 6 with categorical averments that the GPF to the tune of Rs. 5,76,492 as well as leave encashment to the tune of Rs. 1,98,516/- and group insurance for Rs. 1,42,341 have already been sanctioned and paid.

3. Learned Advocate for the petitioner fairly submitted that the pension and gratuity amount has already been



paid, however, so far the GPF is concerned, till date the petitioner has been deprived from the payment of GPF with respect to certain periods.

4. Learned Advocate for the State fairly submits that If the petitioner is in a position to show that some amount of GPF has not been paid, he may approach before the District Provident Fund Officer.

5. Having considered the fair submission of the rival parties and taking note of the fact that substantive amount under the retiral benefits have been paid to the petitioner, this Court deems it apt and proper to close the writ petition. However, the petitioner shall be at liberty to approach before the concerned District Provident Fund Officer if certain amounts under the GPF has not been paid till date.

6. With the aforesaid liberty, the present writ petition stands disposed.

(Harish Kumar, J)

sumit/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	NA
Uploading Date	
Transmission Date	NA

