

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.12877 of 2025

=====

Ashok Das Son of Surendra Das, Village Ahiyari Uttari, P.O. Ahiyari, P.S.
Kamtaul, District Darbhanga.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Chief Secretary, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Additional Chief Secretary / Principal Secretary, Department of Disaster Management, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
3. The District Magistrate, Darbhanga.
4. The District Public Grievances Redressal Officer, Darbhanga.
5. The Executive Engineer, Public Health Division, Darbhanga.
6. The Assistant Engineer, Public Health Division, Darbhanga.
7. The Junior Engineer, Public Health Division, Darbhanga.
8. The Block Development Officer, Jale, District Darbhanga.
9. The Block Development Officer, Kewati, District Darbhanga.
10. The Block Development Officer, Kewati, District Darbhanga.
11. The Block Development Officer, Tardih, District Darbhanga.
12. The Block Development Officer, Baheri, District Darbhanga.
13. The Block Development Officer, Alinagar, District Darbhanga.
14. The Block Development Officer, Gaurda Bauram, District Darbhanga.
15. The Block Development Officer, Kiratpur, District Darbhanga.
16. The Block Development Officer, Kusheshwar Asthan, District Darbhanga.
17. The Block Development Officer, Kusheshwar Asthan East, District Darbhanga.
18. The Block Development Officer, Benipur, District Darbhanga.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Harsh Anuj, Adv.
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Government Advocate (10)

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE A. ABHISHEK REDDY
ORAL ORDER

7 15-06-2026 In the supplementary counter-affidavit filed by the
respondent No. 5 at Para No. 8, it is stated as under;

*“That it is humbly submitted that after
verification of record an amount of Rs. 11,20,537/-*



is due against the executed work by the petitioner as such the Executive Engineer, PH Division, Darbhanga vide Letter No. 1349 dated 08.04.2026 sought an allotment of Rs. 18,95,800/- for making necessary due payment of the petitioner and other contractors.”

2. Having regard to the same, the present writ petition is allowed. The respondent authority is directed to make the necessary payments as expeditiously as possible preferably within a period of six weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

3. With the above direction, the present writ petition stands allowed to the extent indicated.

(A. Abhishek Reddy, J)

Ayush/-

U			
---	--	--	--

