IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.54045 of 2026

CNR No. BRHC010768302026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-700 Year-2025 Thana- HISUWA District- Nawada

==========

Ramashish Yadav @ Ramashish Kumar Son of Prabhu Yadav Resident of Village - Nasratpur Fulwariya, P.S. - Hisua, District – Nawada.

... ... Petitioner

Versus

The State of Bihar

... ... Opposite Party

==========

Appearance :
For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Sheo Kumar Prasad, Adv.
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Uday Chand Prasad, APP

==========

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA SHEKHAR JHA

ORAL ORDER

5 27-10-2026 Heard the parties.

2. The accused/petitioner seeks bail in connection with Hisua P.S. Case No.700 of 2025 registered for the offences punishable under Sections 190, 191(2), 115(2), 126(2), 324(4), 118(2), 109 and 303(2) of the B.N.S.

3. The accused/petitioner is named in the FIR and is in custody since 25.05.2026.

4. As per FIR, 10 named accused persons including 9 female family members alleged to involve in the occurrence, where 10 male members of the family said to be involved in assaulting the brother of the informant, Kedar Yadav during

WEB COPY

the occurrence by using sharp cut weapon like knife, having intention to cause his death, where occurrence alleged to be arisen out of land disputes. This petitioner specifically alleged to give knife blow in abdomen of the injured/brother of the informant.

5. It is submitted by learned counsel appearing for the petitioner that the parties are agnates and due to land disputes, the entire 19 family members were implicated. It is pointed out that as per injury report only four injuries were found upon the brother of the informant i.e., 1”x1/2” over lower sternum, 1/2”x1/2” over right index finger, 1”x1/2” over left side nose and 5”x1/2” over left waist area. As per injury report, the fracture of frontal bone and right nasal bone were noticed. Injuries were caused by sharp cut weapon. Referring the aforesaid injuries, it is submitted that the allegation as specifically raised against this petitioner through FIR is to give knife blow in stomach to injured appears false, as no such injury was found upon. It is also pointed out that the injury as found on lower sternum was specifically to be caused by co-accused, Vikash Yadav.

6. While concluding argument, it is submitted that

WEB COPY

investigation of this case has already completed, for which, charge-sheet has been submitted and, as such, there is no chance of tampering with the evidence. Explaining criminal antecedent, it is submitted that petitioner found involved in 11 more criminal cases, but all are with the informant and other agnates arising out of land disputes or the disputes arising out of neighbourhood differences, where he is on bail.

7. Learned APP duly assisted by learned counsel appearing for the informant while opposing the prayer of bail could not disputed the aforesaid submission referring the injury report as pointed out by the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner.

8. In view of aforesaid factual submissions and by taking note of fact as the specific allegation raised against this petitioner through FIR *prima-facie* not appears corroborating with the injury report of the injured/brother of the informant as discussed above, coupled with the fact that investigation of this case has already completed, wherein petitioner remains in custody since 25.05.2026, accordingly, the petitioner, above-named, is directed to be released on bail furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of

WEB COPY

the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Nawada in connection with Hisua P.S. Case No.700 of 2025, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 480(3) of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita (in short 'BNSS').

(Chandra Shekhar Jha, J.)

Raushan/-

U		T	

WEB COPY