

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.54065 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-149 Year-2021 Thana- AMBA District- Aurangabad

=====

Ramkripal Kumar S/O Ramadhar Yadav Resident of Village- Puroshottampur,
P.S-Chhauradano, District- East Champaran, Motihari.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Sharda Nand Mishra

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Suman Kumari Singh

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 31-07-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case
registered for the offence punishable under Section 30(a) of
Bihar Prohibition and Excise Act, 2018.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner is a person with clean antecedent and allegation is of
recovery of 2541.600 litres of liquor from a truck.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner was not arrested from the spot as such nothing was
recovered from his conscious possession and is not the owner of
the seized vehicle and he came to be implicated based on
confessional statement of Raja in police custody which does not
have any evidentiary value.



5. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender within a period of six weeks from today, be released on provisional anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.500/- (Rupees five hundred) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Amba P.S. Case No.149/2021, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482(2) of the B.N.S.S.

7. It is made clear that the learned trial court thereafter shall verify the criminal antecedents of the petitioner and in the event if it is found that petitioner has antecedent of even one case, in that event, it would be presumed that petitioner had concealed his antecedent before this court, as such, the provisional anticipatory bail order shall not be confirmed but if on verification it is found that petitioner is a person with clean antecedent, in that event the provisional anticipatory bail order shall be confirmed forthwith.

8. At this stage, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks permission to make rectification in Para-1 of the



anticipatory bail application as it is submitted that petitioner is an accused in Amba P.S. Case No.149 of 2021 but the same has been inadvertently typed as Amba P.S. Case No.149 of 2023.

9. Permission is accorded.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

amit/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

