

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.58129 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-57 Year-2025 Thana- CHANDAUTI District- Gaya

1. Md. Kasim S/o- Md. Salim Akram Mohalla- Imran Colony Road No- 3 New Karimganj PS- Civil Lines Dist- Gaya
2. Md. Nazim @ Md. Nazim Akram S/o- Md. Salim Akram Mohalla- Imran Colony Road No- 3 New Karimganj PS- Civil Lines Dist- Gaya
3. Ishrat Khatoon @ Irarat Khatoon W/o- Md. Salim Akram Mohalla- Imran Colony Road No- 3 New Karimganj PS- Civil Lines Dist- Gaya
4. Md. Salim Akram S/o- Late Baso Mohalla- Imran Colony Road No- 3 New Karimganj PS- Civil Lines Dist- Gaya

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Ms. Chandni Kumari, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Mr. Rabindra Kumar, APP
For the Informant	:	Mr. Syed Imran Ghani, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

5 09-02-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioners, learned A.P.P. for the State, Mr. Rabindra Kumar and the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the informant.

2. The petitioners apprehend their arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under Sections 96 and 3(5) of the BNS, 2023.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioners are persons with clean antecedent and allegation is of kidnapping the minor daughter of the informant.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that



victim has been recovered and her statement has been recorded under Section 183 BNSS wherein she has not supported the case of the prosecution. It is further submitted that in the FIR the date of birth of the victim has been disclosed as 15 years, but then victim is 18 years of age, as in her statement recorded under Section 183 BNSS at Para-6 she has stated that she is 18 years of age, but then her date of birth for the purposes of matriculation was reduced. It is also submitted that the thrust of the allegation is against Aamir Akram with whom the victim had eloped since they were in love.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State as well as the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the informant opposes the anticipatory bail application. The learned counsel appearing on behalf of the informant submits that the date of birth of the victim as recorded in her birth certificate issued by the Corporation is 27.07.2010, as such, on the date of occurrence, the victim was a minor aged about 15 years.

6. After hearing the learned counsel for the parties, the Court comes to a conclusion that the victim has not supported the case of the prosecution, but then is a minor, but then petitioners being brothers, mother and father of Aamir Akram came to be implicated, as such petitioners above-named,



in the event of their arrest or surrender within a period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Chandauti P.S. Case No. 57 of 2025 subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482 (2) of the BNSS.

7. Accordingly, the instant anticipatory bail application stands allowed.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Rishabh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

