

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.54642 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-25 Year-2019 Thana- PANDARAK District- Patna

Manoj Rai S/o Shatrughan Roy @ Satrudhan Roy R/O Rajauli, Ward no. 14,
Chamtha Laxman Tola,P.S. - Bachhwara, District - Begusarai

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Zeyaul Hoda, Advocate Mr. Thakur Brajesh Singh, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Mr. Dr.Mrityunjaya Kr.Gautam, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH
MISHRA**

ORAL ORDER

4 30-01-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned
APP for the State and perused the case diary.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in Pandarak P.S. Case No.
25 of 2019, instituted for the offences punishable under Sections
341, 323, 504, 506, 363, 365, 34 of the Indian Penal Code and
Section 27 of the Arms Act.

3. The prosecution case, in short, is that the petitioner
along with other co-accused persons resorted to indiscriminate
firing, misbehaved with the informant and his family members.
The informant somehow managed to escape but, his father was
illegally restrained. Thereafter, the whereabouts of his father is
not known.



4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in the present case. Charge-sheet has been submitted in this case. No incriminating material has been recovered from the conscious possession of the petitioner. Learned counsel for the petitioner also submitted that the petitioner was not present at the place of occurrence when father of the informant was abused and assaulted by other co-accused persons. It is next submitted that allegation levelled against the petitioner is of calling the miscreants which is general and omnibus in nature and specific allegation of firing is against other co-accused person. The petitioner is in custody since 29.01.2025 and has got one criminal antecedent. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that other co-accused has been granted regular bail by this Court vide order dated 01.09.2025 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 36121 of 2025.

5. Learned APP for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case and taking into account the period of custody undergone by the petitioner, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.



7. Let the petitioner be released on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 15,000/- (Rupees Fifteen Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of Court below/concerned Court in connection with Pandarak P.S. Case No. 25 of 2019, subject to the following conditions:

(I) One of the bailors shall be own/close member of the family of the petitioner.

(II) The petitioner shall appear on each and every date fixed at the trial. In case of default in such appearance on two consecutive dates, the Trial Court will have liberty to cancel the bail bonds of the petitioner.

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

Rajorshi/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

