

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.54469 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-60 Year-2025 Thana- KASIMBAZAR District- Munger

Rajesh Singh @ Gouri Shankar Singh @ Gaurishankar Singh, S/o Narendra Singh @ Narendra Prasad Singh @ Nunnu Singh, R/o Katghar Tola, Near Hawaii Adda, Bindwara, P.S- Kasim Bazar, District - Munger, State - Bihar

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Amit Narayan, Advocate Mrs. Vandna Rani, Advocate Mrs. Rupa Sinha, Advocate Mr. Aryan Kumar, Advocate Mr. Rohit Kumar, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Mr. Shailendra Kumar Singh, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SOURENDRA PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

5 21-01-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. Petitioner apprehends his arrest in connection with Kasim Bazar P.S. Case No. 60 of 2025 registered for the offences under Sections 118(2), 126(2), 109, 303(2) and 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.

3. As per the prosecution case, the informant has alleged that the petitioner and his father brutally assaulted the informant resulting in grievous injuries.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has falsely been implicated in this case and no such incident, as alleged, has occurred. It has further been submitted



that, in fact, the present case is a counter case to the earlier case lodged by the wife of the petitioner and it has been lodged to seek revenge. It has next been submitted that from perusal of the injury report, it would be evident that the injuries sustained by the informant were all found to be on the head and the specific allegation against the petitioner is of hitting on the chest of the informant, where no injury has been found. It has lastly been submitted that the other co-accused, namely, Narendra Singh, who is said to have assaulted on the head of the informant, has been granted bail by the learned Sessions Judge, Munger. It has lastly been submitted that though the petitioner has three criminal antecedents, he is on bail in all the cases.

5. Learned APP for the State has opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and the submissions advanced on behalf of the parties, let the petitioner above named be released on anticipatory bail, in the event of arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a period of four weeks from today, on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/-(ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Court below where the case is pending/successor court in connection with



Kasim Bazar P.S. Case No. 60 of 2025, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure read with corresponding Section 482(2) of B.N.S.S. as well as subject to the following conditions:-

- (i) One of the bailors of the petitioner shall be his close relative.
- (ii) The petitioner shall remain physically present in Court on each date of the trial.
- (iii) In case of absence on two consecutive dates, or in violation of the terms of the bail, the bail bond of the petitioner will be liable to be cancelled by the Court concerned.
- (iv) If the petitioner is found involved in similar nature of offence in future, the prosecution shall be at liberty to move for cancellation of his bail bond.
- (v) The learned Court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case at any stage it is found that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedent, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioner. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.
- (vi) In view of the antecedent of the petitioner, the petitioner is directed to appear before the Superintendent of Police, Munger within fifteen days of his release with a copy of this order and every two weeks thereafter for the next nine months. The conduct of the petitioner will be kept under watch in this period by the Superintendent of Police concerned and if it is



found wanting in any respect, a report shall be made to the Court concerned by him to initiate a proceeding for cancellation of bail for reasons of misuse of bail. After reporting to the Superintendent of Police, a certificate will be filed by the petitioner before the courts concerned.

7. Accordingly, the prayer for anticipatory bail is allowed.

8. It is made clear that the observation, if any, made in this order, shall be of no bearing during the trial.

(Sourendra Pandey, J)

manoj/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

