

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.52591 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-57 Year-2026 Thana- BICHKODWA District- Jamui

MANOHAR BARNWAL @ MANOHAR VARNWAL S/o Bramhadev
Barnwal R/o vill - Mohbadia, P.S.- Bickhodwa, Distt.- Jamui

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Prabeen Kumar Singh, Adv

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Harendra Prasad, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RITESH KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

2 29-07-2026 Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the
learned APP appearing on behalf of the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in connection with Bichkodwa P.S. Case No. 57 of 2026, instituted under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 118(1), 76, 352, 351(2), 191(2), 109(1) of B.N.S.

3. As per the prosecution story, which has been lodged on the basis of written report submitted by informant to the effect that on the date of occurrence all the accused persons, including the petitioner herein, came with a common intention. The petitioner assaulted on the head of the informant with a knife, due to which he sustained injuries. The co-accused Rakesh Barnwal and Mohan Barnwal threw the mother of the



informant on ground and when the elder brother of the informant came to save her, co-accused Ritesh Barnwal tried to assault him with a spade, however the police personnel came and saved him. It has further been alleged in the meantime other co-accused persons started demolishing the mud wall of the informant and damaged the asbestos sheet of the informant with brick, stick and stone.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and the present occurrence has taken place on account of dispute with regard to passage in between the parties, which was blocked by the family of the informant by raising a boundary wall with asbestos sheet. He further submits that so far the allegation against the petitioner is concerned, he assaulted with a knife on the head of the informant, however from the injury report it would transpire that the same has been found to be simple in nature by the treating doctor. He further submits that the petitioner has got a clean antecedent.

5. *Per contra*, the learned APP appearing on behalf of the State opposes the prayer for bail of the petitioner and submits that the specific allegation of assault on the head of the informant has been leveled against the petitioner.



6. Having considered the rival submissions and after going through the record, it appears that as per the FIR specific allegation has been leveled against the petitioner that he assaulted with a knife on the head of the informant, however from the injury report, which has been discussed in the impugned order dated 13.07.2026 passed by the learned Additional Sessions Judge-IV, Jamui in Anticipatory Bail Petition No. 822 of 2026, it would transpire that the injuries received by the informant have been found to be simple in nature by the treating doctor. Considering the above, let the petitioner, above named in the event of arrest/surrender within a period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned C.J.M. Jamui, in connection with Bichkodwa P.S. Case No. 57 of 2026, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482(2) of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, and subject to the following condition:-

(I) That the learned court concerned shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case at any stage, it is found that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedent, the court concerned shall take step for cancellation



of bail bond of the petitioner. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

(Ritesh Kumar, J)

shital/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

