

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.53972 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-159 Year-2022 Thana- INDUSTRIAL District- Bhagalpur

1. Chunna Yadav @ Chunna Kumar Yadav S/o Sakhi Chandra Yadav R/o Gopalpur, P.S - Industrial Area, District - Bhagalpur
2. Manoj Yadav @ Manoj Kumar Yadav S/o Sakhi Chandra Yadav R/o Gopalpur, P.S - Industrial Area, District - Bhagalpur
3. Satrugan Yadav S/o Sakhi Chandra Yadav R/o Gopalpur, P.S - Industrial Area, District - Bhagalpur

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Madan Mohan, Adv. Mr. Sourav Suman, Adv.
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Ms. Renu Kumari, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

5 17-01-2026 Heard Mr. Madan Mohan, learned Counsel for the petitioners duly assisted by Mr. Sourav Suman and learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioners apprehend their arrest in connection with Industrial Area P.S. Case No. 159 of 2022 for the offence registered under sections 341, 323, 324, 307, 379, 504, 506, 354(B) read with Section 34 of the IPC lodged on 18.08.2022 by the informant, Polo Yadav.

3. As per the prosecution story, the informant alleged that on the minor issue of bull entering the field of the accused, both the informant and his wife were assaulted, allegation



against Chunna Yadav and Manoj Yadav is of assaulting the informant, Polo Yadav while Shatrughan Yadav assaulted the informant's wife (Rambha Devi). They went to the hospital which followed the FIR.

4. Learned Counsel for the petitioners submit that there is twelve days delay in lodging the FIR and the injury of Polo Yadav is simple, there is no injury report of the lady. Further, the submission is that without accepting the allegation and/or the outcome of the present petition in view of the fact that the informant side has alleged injuries, the petitioners on its own would like to contribute towards the medical assistance of Rs. 2500/- each (totaling Rs. 7500/-) through Demand Draft issued by the local branch of the State Bank of India/any Nationalized Bank to be submitted before the 'NAZARAT' of concerned Court to be handed over to the informant after checking the credentials.

5. In this case, the Co-ordinate Bench had called for the case diary and as per it, the injury of Polo Yadav has been found to be simple in nature, there is no injury report of the lady.

6. Learned APP for the State, on the other hand, opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail submitting that all of them assaulted the informant and his wife causing injuries.



7. Considering the submissions of the parties as also the fact that the injury has been found to be simple in nature, these petitioners do not have criminal antecedent, in that background, this Court is inclined to grant them the anticipatory bail with conditions subject to payment of Rs. 2500/- each (totaling Rs. 7500/-) to the informant as undertaken by the learned counsel for the petitioners to be paid by Demand Draft of local branch of the State Bank of India/any Nationalized Bank to be submitted to the Trial Court.

8. Let the petitioners in the event of arrest or surrender within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of the order, shall be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned JMFC, Bhagalpur in connection with Industrial Area P.S. Case No. 159 of 2022 subject to condition as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C.

(i) one of the bailor should be the family members/relatives of the petitioners, who shall provide official document (Aadhar Card, PAN Card, Driving License, Voter ID) to show his *bona fide*;

(ii) the petitioners shall appear on each and every date



before the Trial court and failure to do so for two consecutive dates without plausible reason will entail cancellation of his bail bond by the Trial court itself;

(iii) the petitioners shall in no way try to induce or promise or threat the witnesses or tamper with the evidences, failing which the State shall be at liberty to take steps for cancellation of the bail bonds;

(iv) the petitioners shall desist from committing any criminal offence again failing which the State shall be at liberty to take steps for cancellation of the bail bonds.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

Vijay Singh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

