IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.64603 of 2026

CNR No. BRHC010758162026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-117 Year-2025 Thana- JHAJHA District- Jamui

==========

1. NIRAJ GOSAWAMI @ NIRAJ KUMAR Son of Pradip Gosawami Resident of Village- Sohjana, P.S.- Jhajha, District- Jamui.
2. Ritik Kumar @ Ritik Rawat Son of Arun Rawat @ Arun Ravat @ Arun Yadav Resident of Village- Sohjana Rawat Tola, P.S.- Jhajha, District- Jamui.

... ... Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar Bihar

... ... Opposite Party/s

==========

Appearance :
For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Satya Prakash Parasar, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Jitendra Kumar Singh,APP

==========

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA

ORAL ORDER

4 05-11-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioners apprehend their arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under Sections 115(2), 126(2), 118(2), 109, 110 and 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioner No. 1 is a person with clean antecedent and petitioner No. 2 has antecedent of two cases. The Court completely fails to appreciate that as to why the order impugned does not record the nature of injury when from perusal of the order impugned, it

WEB COPY

would manifest that the injury report was before the learned Additional Sessions Judge- V, Jamui.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that informant alleges that on 13.03.2025 at about 03:30 PM while he was returning to the bus stand when petitioners along with five unknown accused came and started abusing and assaulting him, further petitioner No. 2 assaulted him by an iron rod causing injury on head and thereafter, the other accused persons also assaulted and thereafter, fled away from the place of occurrence on three motorcycles.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioners have been falsely implicated in the instant case by the informant, it is next submitted that from perusal of the allegation as alleged in the FIR, it would manifest that informant does not disclose any reason/motive for the occurrence, it is also submitted that no specific allegation of assault is alleged against the petitioner No. 1. It is next submitted that even assault is not alleged to have been repeated. It is further submitted that since the learned District Court did not record the nature of injury even after perusing the injury report that amply demonstrates that the injury was simple.

6. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for

WEB COPY

anticipatory bail of the petitioners.

7. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners, the petitioners above-named, in the event of their arrest or surrender within a period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Jhajha P.S. Case No. 117 of 2025 subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482 (2) of the BNSS.

8. Let a copy of this order be sent to the learned District Judge, Jamui for its onward communication to Additional Sessions Judge-V, Jamui.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Nitesh/-

U		T	

WEB COPY