

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.53017 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-183 Year-2025 Thana- HAJIPUR SADAR District- Vaishali

Ajit Kumar @ Ajit Kumar Yadav S/o Kishundeo Rai R/o Village_ Tajpur
Bujurg, P.S. Mahua, District - Vaishali

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Ranjeet Kumar Singh, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Mr. Choubey Jawahar, APP
For the Informant	:	Mr. Kuldeep Kumar, Advocate

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH
MISHRA**

ORAL ORDER

4 16-01-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned
APP for the State, learned counsel for the informant and perused
the case diary.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with Hajipur
Sadar P.S. Case No. 183 of 2025, instituted for the offences
punishable under Section 309(6) of the Bharatiya Nyaya
Sanhita, 2023 and Section 27 of the Arms Act.

3. The prosecution case, in short, is that four unknown
miscreants arrived at the informant's house on two motorcycles
on the pretext of seeking a room for rent, forcibly entered her
house, assaulted her nephew and maid, and looted ornaments
and Rs. 4 lakh cash after obtaining the key of the Godrej
almirah at gunpoint.



4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in the present case. Charge-sheet has been submitted in this case. No incriminating material has been recovered from the conscious possession of the petitioner. Learned counsel for the petitioner also submits that the petitioner is not named in the FIR but in course of investigation he has been made accused on the basis of self-confession made before the police and the same has got no evidentiary value. It is next submitted that the recovered amount of Rs. 50,000/- belongs to the petitioner. The petitioner is in custody since 07.03.2025 and has got no criminal antecedent. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that other co-accused has been granted regular bail by this Court vide order dated 14.10.2025 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 50860 of 2025.

5. Learned APP for the State and learned counsel for the informant have vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner and submits that there is credible evidence against the petitioner about his involvement in commission of the offence and causing injury. It is next submitted that on perusal of paragraph nos. 5 and 8 of the case diary, it transpires that the CCTV footage indicated the registration number of both



the motorcycles used in the commission of the offence and one of the motorcycles belong to the petitioner. Hence, the petitioner does not deserve the privilege of bail.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, nature of accusation and the gravity of the offence, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

7. The prayer is rejected. The trial Court is directed to expedite the Trial. However, if the trial is not concluded within a period of three months from the date of receipt/production, the petitioner will have liberty to renew his prayer for bail in the Court below.

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

Rajorshi/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

