

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.51732 of 2026**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-621 Year-2023 Thana- CHAPRA TOWN District- Saran

Jawed Ahmad @ Jawed Alam @ Jawed Hussain S/O Md. Saud R/O Mohalla-  
Karimchak, Rahat Road, P.S.- Chapra Town, Distt.- Saran.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Arif Daula Siddiquie, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Kumar Veerendra Narayan, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE AJIT KUMAR**  
**ORAL ORDER**

2      29-07-2026                      Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and  
learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in connection with Chapra Town P.S. Case No.621 of 2023, F.I.R dated 01.08.2023 registered for the offences punishable under Sections 452, 341, 323, 364, 379, 504 and 506/34 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. According to prosecution case, the informant alleges that her son, Akhlaquzzama @ Imran, operated a company named *Success Keass Pvt. Ltd.* that collected money from the public for investment in the share market. Due to heavy losses caused by market fluctuations, the company was unable to repay the investors, who frequently visited the informant's house demanding their money, abusing and



assaulting the family despite her son's assurance that the amount would be repaid within three months. It is further alleged that on 31.07.2023 at about 10:00 p.m., the accused persons, including the present petitioner and other unknown individuals, allegedly came to the informant's house, forcibly took away her son in a vehicle belonging to Akhtar Hussain @ Krela, assaulted the informant when she intervened, and also took away cash from her purse along with her mobile phone.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the only allegation against this petitioner is that the petitioner is said to have forcibly made the informant to sit in the vehicle of Md. Akhtar Hussain @ Krela, while, Md. Akhtar Hussain @ Krela and Md. Nishat Akhtar Ansari @ Nishat Ahmad, who is the son of Md. Akhtar Hussain @ Krela, have already been extended the privilege of anticipatory bail by a Co-ordinate Bench of this Court vide order dated 11.03.2024 passed in Cr. Misc. No.10941 of 2024. It has next been submitted that co-accused Md. Shahzad Alam has also been extended the privilege of anticipatory bail by a Co-ordinate Bench of this Court vide order dated 20.06.2025 passed in Cr. Misc. No.75862 of 2024. Lastly, it has been submitted that the petitioner has two criminal antecedent but is on bail in the said cases.



5. Learned APP for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail application.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances that for similar allegations, other co-accused persons have been extended the privilege of anticipatory bail by Co-ordinate Benches of this Court and the petitioner has two criminal antecedent but is on bail in the said cases. Accordingly, this Court is inclined to grant the privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

7. Let the petitioner, above named, in the event of his/her arrest or surrender before the Court below within a period of thirty days from the date of receipt of the order, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Saran at Chapra, in connection with Chapra Town P.S. Case No.621 of 2023, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure / Section 482(2) of the Bhartiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita and with other following conditions:-

(i) one of the bailors should be the family member/relative/known of the petitioner(s) who shall provide



official document/personal affidavit to show his/her bona fide;

(ii) the petitioner(s) shall appear on each and every date before the Trial Court and failure to do so for two consecutive dates without plausible reason will entail cancellation of his/her/their bail bond by the Trial Court itself;

(iii) the petitioner(s) shall in no way try to induce or promise or threat the witnesses or tamper with the evidences, failing which the State shall be at liberty to take steps for cancellation of the bail bonds;

(iv) the petitioner(s) shall desist from committing any criminal offence again, failing which the State shall be at liberty to take steps for cancellation of their bail bonds.

**(Ajit Kumar, J)**

sharun/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

