IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.51673 of 2026

CNR No. BRHC010750792026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-405 Year-2025 Thana- ROSERA District- Samastipur

==========

Praveen Kumar Sada @ Praveen Kumar S/o Jhonti Sada @ Zauti Sada Resident of Village- Rasulpur Dharha, P.S.- Rosera, District- Samastipur

... ... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Asha Devi w/o - Ashok Yadav R/o - village - Purani Bhirha Ward No. 8 , . P.S - Rosera , District - Samastipur

... ... Opposite Party/s

==========

Appearance :
For the Petitioner : Ms. Pampy Kumari, Advocate
For the State : Mr. Bharat Lal, APP
For the OP-2 : None

==========

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH

ORAL ORDER

5 29-10-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned A.P.P. for the State. Despite valid service of notice, nobody appears on behalf of opposite party no. 2.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case registered for the offence under Sections 137(2), 96, 3(5) of the Bhartiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.

3. The informant alleged that on 19.11.2025, her 13 years old daughter was abducted by this petitioner, in connivance with Soni @ Munni Kumari, aged about 15 years. Informant further alleged that Soni @ Munni Kumari was in contact with the victim through her mobile phone and, in

WEB COPY

collusion with petitioner, lured and abducted the minor girl.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner is quite innocent and has committed no offence. During investigation, the victim was recovered and her statement was recorded under Section 183 of B.N.S.S. wherein she categorically stated that petitioner called her to Rosera on the pretext of attending his sister's marriage, thereafter took her to Andhra Pradesh, kept her confined in a room and did not permit her to communicate with her parents, as he intended to marry her and after knowing the fact of filing present case, petitioner’s parents left the victim near Rosera Railway Station. Learned counsel further submits that victim has not alleged any wrong act against this petitioner. There is material contradiction in the statement of the victim recorded under Sections 180 and 183 of BNSS. During investigation, the victim refused to be medically examined. As a matter of fact, both parties are co-villagers and there is long standing land dispute between them and in order to settle land dispute, this false and concocted case has been lodged. Petitioner claims clean antecedent.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has opposed the bail petition.

6. In the facts and circumstances of the case, let the

WEB COPY

above named petitioner, in the event of arrest/surrender within a period of eight weeks from today, be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail-bond of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned District and Additional Sessions Judge I, Rosera, Samastipur in connection with Rosera P.S. Case No. 405 of 2025, subject to condition as laid down under Section 482 of the Bhartiya Nagrik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023.

(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J)

anay

U		T	

WEB COPY