

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.12246 of 2023

Most. Anita Devi W/o Late Rajesh Kumar Roy, Resident of Feri Road Brari,
P.S. Barari, District Bhagalpur.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through Secretary Department of Electricity, Energy Bihar Patna.
2. The Treasury Officer, Bhagalpur District.
3. The Executive Engineer, South Bihar Power Distribution Company Patna.
4. The Assistant Electrical Engineer, Electric Supply Sub-Division Tilkamanjhi Bhagalpur.
5. The District Magistrate, Bhagalpur.
6. Employee Provident Fund Officer, Bhagalpur.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Anupa Nand Jha, Adv.
For the State	:	Mr. Subhash Pd. Singh, GA 3
For the SBPDCL	:	Dr. Anand Kumar, Adv. Mr. Rajan Prakash, Adv.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE HARISH KUMAR
ORAL JUDGMENT

Date : 23-06-2026

Learned Advocates for the respective parties are present.

2. It is submitted by the learned Advocate for the petitioner that despite the Pension Payment Order issued by the Employees' Provident Fund Organization in short 'EPFO'), the petitioner is not getting her family pension, besides the petitioner has not been allowed compensation amount of Rupees Four Lakhs under the National Disaster Programme.

3. Learned Advocate for the State, Mr. Subhash



Prasad Singh, GA 3 as well as Mr. Rajan Prakash, learned Advocate for the South Bihar Power Distribution Company Limited (in short 'SBPDCL') submit that the husband of the petitioner was not employed under the Company, rather he was an employee of the outsourcing agency, namely, M/s Balaji Electricals, Amarpur, Banka and it has been intimated that the petitioner has already been paid the EPF amount and pension. Only payment payable under Employees' State Insurance Corporation (in short 'ESIC') is pending and in this regard an application has been submitted before the office of the ESIC, Bhagalpur but there is no information regarding final outcome.

4. Taking note of the aforesaid fact that the petitioner has already been paid the amount of Employees Provident Fund and pension; so far insurance amount is concerned, the same is to be paid by the ESIC, this Court deems it fit and proper to direct the petitioner to file an appropriate application before the concerned authority. In case such application is filed, it shall be duly considered by the Corporation and if any amount has not been paid under the Employees Insurance, the same shall be paid to the petitioner without any further delay with statutory interest, if applicable.

5. So far the claim of the petitioner with regard to the



compensation is concerned, since the husband of the petitioner was rendering his services through outsourcing agency, namely, M/s Balaji Electricals, Amarpur, Banka, the petitioner may approach before the Employer-Company or the competent authority for redressal of her grievance with regard to compensation on account of accidental death of her husband.

6. With the aforesaid directions and observations, the present writ petition stands disposed.

(Harish Kumar, J)

Anjani/-

AFR/NAFR	
CAV DATE	
Uploading Date	24 .06.2026
Transmission Date	

