IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.51479 of 2026

CNR No. BRHC010742762026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-27 Year-2026 Thana- AKHODHIGOLA District- Rohtas

==========

Ashok Ram @ Ashok Paswan S/o Late Sudama Ram R/o Village - Bahori Bigha, P.S. - Akorhigola, District - Rohtas.

... ... Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... ... Opposite Party/s

==========

Appearance :
For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ajay Kumar Tiwari, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Manoj Kumar, APP

==========

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR PANDEY

ORAL ORDER

4 27-10-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner has prayed for bail registered for the offence punishable under Sections 137(2) & 140(3) of the B.N.S., 2023 and subsequently charge-sheet has been filed under Sections 103, 137(2), 140(3), 238 and 61(2) of the B.N.S., 2023.

3. The case of the prosecution, in short, is that the minor son of the informant was missing.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence. He has been falsely implicated in this case. He further submits that after ten days of the occurrence,

WEB COPY

the informant has given his restatement before the police and in the restatement, he has stated that he has taken some cash from the petitioner and that, as he could not return the cash, the petitioner has threatened him that he will kidnap his son and the informant believes that the petitioner has kidnapped and killed his son. He further submits that, apart from suspicion, there is no evidence against the petitioner. There is no eyewitness to the said occurrence and from perusal of the entire case diary, it will transpire that there is no eyewitness to the occurrence. Learned counsel further submits that after the restatement of the informant, other witnesses have also reiterated that the informant has told them that the petitioner has threatened the informant. Moreover, a statement has been made in para 3 of the petition that petitioner has no criminal antecedent and he is languishing in judicial custody since 28.01.2026.

5. The application for bail is opposed by learned APP for the State.

6. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and considering the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court is inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail. The above named petitioner is directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rs. ten thousand only) with two sureties

WEB COPY

of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned S.D.J.M., Dehri in connection with S.Tr. No. 231 of 2026 arising out of Akorhigola P.S. Case No. 27 of 2026.

(Ashok Kumar Pandey, J)

Sudhanshu/-

U		T	

WEB COPY