

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.51976 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-145 Year-2026 Thana- FATUA District- Patna

1. Bipin kumar @ Bipin Raj Son of Naresh Rai @ Naresh Singh Resident of Village-Daulatpur, P.S.- Fatuha, District- Patna.
2. Sangeeta Devi @ Soni Wife of Gautam Kumar Resident of Village-Daulatpur, P.S.- Fatuha, District- Patna.
3. Khushboo Devi @ Rajni Kumari Wife of Bipin Kumar @ Bipin Raj Resident of Village-Daulatpur, P.S.- Fatuha, District- Patna.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Jay Ram Prasad, Adv.
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Manoj Kumar, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ALOK KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

2 29-07-2026 Learned counsel for the petitioners and the Learned
counsel for the APP are present.

2. The petitioners apprehend their arrest in connection with Fathua P.S. Case No. 145 of 2026 for the offences registered under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 303(2), 109, 351(2), 352, (3), 3(5) of the BNS Act, and Section 27 of the Arms Act.

3. The prosecution story, in brief, is that the informant was assaulted and abused herein by her sons and daughters-in-law. It is further alleged that petitioner no. 2 Bipin Kumar and petitioner no. 4 Khushboo Devi caught her hair and pushed her on ground due to which she became half naked. It is further



alleged that petitioner no. 1 Gautam Kumar attacked with spade on the informant's thigh in which her thigh got fractured. Lastly, the informant was sent to NMCH for better treatment.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners have no criminal antecedents and falsely been implicated in this case. The counsel further submits that it is a fight between mother and sons due to personal grudge.

5. Learned APP for the State vehemently opposes the prayer for bail of the petitioners.

6. Having heard the parties and I am of the considered view that since there is an allegation of assault on the mother by her sons and daughters-in-law, I am not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

7. Accordingly, the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners, is hereby, rejected.

8. However, if the petitioners surrender before the learned court below within a period of six weeks from today and pray for regular bail, the same shall be disposed of on its own merit without being prejudiced by this order.

(Alok Kumar, J)

Anand/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

