

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.52071 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-88 Year-2025 Thana- KAKO District- Jehanabad

Suday Yadav @ Suday Kumar S/O Sri Uday Yadav Resident of Village-
Nazaru Bigha, PO- Tehta, PS- Makhdumpur, District- Jehanabad-804427

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Madhumay Madhup, Adv

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Ganesh Prasad Singh, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ALOK KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

2 29-07-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the
learned counsel for the State.

2. The Petitioner is apprehending his arrest in connection with Kako P.S. Case No. 88 of 2025 registered for the offences punishable under Sections 318(4), 338, 336(3), 61(2), 126(2), 115(2), 352, 351(2), read with 3(5) of the B.N.S.

3. As per the prosecution case, the ancestral land of the informant, Rajkumar Sao, was allegedly fraudulently registered in the name of Uday Yadav through conspiracy with the co-accused. On 12.06.2024, a co-accused allegedly impersonated the informant, produced another person to falsely represent himself as the informant, and got the land registered in his name, with the registration being witnessed by co-accused Sunil Kumar. On 03.04.2025, at about 7:00 PM, when the



informant visited his agricultural land, the co-accused, along with his son, Suday Yadav, arrived, abused the informant, and pushed him out of the land. They allegedly threatened the informant, saying that he had survived once before at Kako, but this time he would be shot dead and his body would be disposed of so that no one would know.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is nothing to do with the alleged incident. There is no specific allegation of assault against the petitioner and the petitioner has falsely been implicated in the present case and no role has been assigned to the petitioner in respect to the registration of the land.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner.

6. Having heard the parties and taken into account the issue relates to a forged registration of a document, in which the petitioner has no role, let the above named petitioner, in the event of his arrest/surrender within a period of six weeks from today, be enlarged on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail-bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned court concerned, in connection with Kako P.S. Case No. 88 of 2025,



subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482(2) of
the (B.N.S.S.)

7. This application stands allowed.

(Alok Kumar, J)

niku/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

