IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.52694 of 2026
CNR No. BRHC010737762026
Arising Out of PS. Case No.-470 Year-2025 Thana- JAKKANPUR District- Patna

==========

Ranjan Kumar Gupta S/o Kishun Chand Saw R/o New Bengali Tola, Near UBI Bust Stand Road, P.S. - Jakkanpur, Dist. - Patna, Bihar.

... ... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Sohani Kumari wife of Dheerendra Kumar Resident of Lucky Palace Apartment, 402-A Block, Indranagar, Bank Colony, Road no. 4, P.S. - Jakkanpur, Patna

... ... Opposite Party/s

==========

Appearance :
For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Rajendra Narain, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Bhola Kumar, Adv.
Mr. Saket Anand, Adv.
Mr. Kanahaiya Kumar, Adv.
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Nirmal Kumar Sinha, APP
For the Informant : Mr. Sagar Suman, Adv.

==========

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA SHEKHAR JHA

ORAL ORDER

5 27-10-2026 Heard the parties.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with Jakkanpur P.S. Case No. 470 of 2025 registered for the offence under Sections 316(2), 318(4), 351(2) of BNS.

3. The petitioner is named in the F.I.R. and is in custody since 10.03.2026.

4. The allegation against the petitioner is to commit fraud upon informant in connection with sale of piece of land for which he received Rs. 55 lakhs from the informant.

WEB COPY

5. Mr. Rajendra Narain, learned senior counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submitted that the dispute is primarily for the recovery of money when the land deal between the parties failed for any of the reason. It is submitted that out of received Rs. 55 lakh, Rs. 22 lakh was paid through bank transactions and Rs. 33 lakh was paid in cash to the informant and, therefore, there is no occasion to lodge the present FIR.

6. Highlighting the background of the informant, it is submitted by Mr. Narain that in FIR which was lodged on 25.06.2025, it was said that only Rs. 11 lakh was paid by the petitioner, but it was only during investigation, it surfaced that in actual Rs. 22 lakh was paid through bank transactions to the informant. It is submitted that informant and her husband is under habit to indulge in all such activities of lodging false cases and a total of 8 cases is pending against informant and her husband which mentioned in para no. 13 of the supplementary affidavit with all its details.

7. In support of cash payment, it is submitted that

WEB COPY

earlier four cheques were given to the informant by the petitioner bearing cheque nos. 007154, 007155, 007156 and 007157 drawn on Union Bank of India, Mithapur Branch Patna. It is further submitted that Rs. 22 lakh was drawn through cheque no. 007154, whereas rest cheques were drawn for Rs. 11 lakh in favor of M/s Om Structures Solution India Ltd., which is the company of informant through which the amount of Rs. 55 lakh was transferred to the petitioner account. It is submitted that only after receiving Rs. 22 lakh through bank transactions and Rs. 33 lakh through cash, all such cheques in original were returned to the petitioner. It is submitted that if it was not paid, cheques would not be returned by the informant to the petitioner. While concluding the argument, it is submitted that petitioner found involved in two more criminal cases subsequent to lodging of present FIR, where he is on bail and moreover, investigation of this case is completed, as such, there is no chance of tampering with the evidence.

8. Learned APP duly assisted by Mr. Sagar Suman learned counsel for the informant while opposing the prayer

WEB COPY

of bail submitted that submission of petitioner as he paid Rs. 33 lakh through cash appears not convincing as no such payment was ever made to the informant.

9. Let it be so, as the matter primarily appears regarding recovery of money arising out of land deal where admittedly Rs. 22 lakh has already paid by petitioner to the informant, coupled with fact as investigation of this case has already completed, wherein petitioner remains in custody since 10.03.2026, accordingly petitioner above named, is directed to be released on bail in connection with Jakkanpur P.S. Case No. 470 of 2025 on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned ACJM VIII, Patna Sadar /concerned court, subject to the conditions as mentioned under Section 480(3) of BNSS.

(Chandra Shekhar Jha, J)

Sudha/-

U		T	

WEB COPY