IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.53034 of 2026

CNR No. BRHC010736052026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-286 Year-2025 Thana- SHIVSAGAR District- Rohtas

==========

Chitranjan Kumar S/o Satyendra Singh R/o Village - Chandwa, P.S. - Shivsagar, Dist. - Rohtas.

... ... Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... ... Opposite Party/s

==========

Appearance :
For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Wasi Mohammad, Advocate
For the State : Mr. Murli Dhar, APP
For the Informant : Ms. Minakshi Kumari, Advocate

==========

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH MISHRA

ORAL ORDER

5 28-10-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned APP for the State as also learned counsel for the Informant. Perused the case diary.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with Shivsagar P.S. Case No. 286 of 2025 instituted for the offence under Sections 109, 103 & 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.

3. The prosecution case, in brief, is that on 30.08.2025, while the informant was going to his village along with Anil Kushwaha, the petitioner, along with three others, arrived on a motorcycle and allegedly opened fire upon them, causing firearm injuries to the informant. It is further alleged

WEB COPY

that the accused persons fired while fleeing, resulting in firearm injuries to Jokhan Sah, who subsequently died during treatment at Sasaram, whereafter the informant was referred to LifeLine Hospital, Varanasi, for better treatment.

4. It has been submitted on behalf of the petitioner that the petitioner is in custody since 17.09.2026. Petitioner bears no criminal antecedents, as per disclosure made in paragraph No. 3 of the bail application.

5. It has been further submitted by the petitioner's counsel that petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case. From perusal of the FIR, it would manifest that allegation against the petitioner is confined to Section 109 of the BNS and Section 103 of the BNS is not attracted against him. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that allegedly, petitioner has fired upon the injured-informant, who sustained simple fire arm injuries, which is evident from the injury report. Charge sheet has already been submitted in this case.

6. Learned A.P.P. for the State and learned cousnel for the informant have vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner. Learned counsel for the informant submits that even charge in this case is framed and two witnesses are also examined, which is evident from the impugned order itself.

WEB COPY

7. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, there being direct allegation of firing against the petitioner, which is corroborated by the injury report as also taking into account the fact that trial has commenced, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner at this stage. Prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner is hereby ***rejected***.

8. The Trial Court is directed to expedite the trial as expeditiously as possible without any undue delay and unnecessary adjournments.

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

Raj Kishore/-

U		T	

WEB COPY