

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.51374 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-222 Year-2025 Thana- DOBHI District- Gaya

-
1. Guddu Yadav @ Guddu Kumar S/O Mahesh Yadav R/O Village- Dhamkwa, PS- Paraiya, Distt- Gaya
 2. Baban Yadav S/O Ram Khelawan Yadav R/O Vill.- Nawadih, P.s.- Dobhi, Dist.- Gaya.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Paras Nath

For the Opposite Party/s : Ms. Sucheta Yadav

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 24-07-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioners apprehend their arrest in a case registered for the offence punishable under Section 30(a) of Bihar Prohibition and Excise Amendment Act, 2018.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioner no.1 has antecedent of three cases and petitioner no.2 is a person with clean antecedent and allegation is of recovery of 3.750 litres of liquor from a motorcycle.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioners were not arrested from the spot as such nothing was recovered from their conscious possession and are not the



owners of the seized vehicle and they came to be implicated based on confessional statement of Arvind in police custody which does not have any evidentiary value.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioners.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioners, the petitioners above-named, in the event of their arrest or surrender within a period of six weeks from today, be released on provisional anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.15,000/- (Rupees fifteen thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Dobhi P.S. Case No.222/2025, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482(2) of the B.N.S.S.

7. It is made clear that thereafter the learned trial court thereafter shall verify the criminal antecedents of the petitioners and in the event if it is found that petitioner no.1 has antecedent of more than three cases and petitioner no.2 has antecedent of even one case, in that event, it would be presumed that petitioners had concealed their antecedent before this court, as such, the provisional anticipatory bail order shall not be



confirmed with respect to those petitioners whose criminal antecedent does not tally but if after verification the criminal antecedent as recorded hereinabove tallies with the petitioners in that event the provisional anticipatory bail order shall be confirmed forthwith.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

amit/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

