

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.2621 of 2017

=====

Mehta Yogendra Singh S/o Late Bulkan Mahto, R/o Mohalla - Mainpura, P.S.
Danapur, District - Patna

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar
2. Land Acquisition Officer, Vaishali, Hajipur
3. Arbitrator Cum Addl. Collector, Vaishali, Hajipur

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Deovind Kumar Singh, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Rishi Raj Sinha, SC-19

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

3 12-01-2026 Heard the parties.

2. The present petition has been preferred for the
grant of following relief(s):

*“(i) to direct the respondents to make
payment of Rs.18,51,885 with interest to the
petitioner for damage caused during the
acquisition of his land and demolished the Hotel
which was situated on the said land.*

*(ii) to grant any other appropriate
relief as the Hon’ble Court may deem fit and
proper as per facts and circumstances of the
case.”*



3. Learned State counsel has taken this Court to the order dated 17.03.2015 passed by the Arbitrator-cum-Additional Collector, Vaishali, Hajipur in Land Acquisition Settlement Case No. 309 of 2013 (Mehta Yogendra Singh vs. D.L.A.O, Vaishali at Hajipur) to show that the Arbitrator has passed an order against which he has to move before the appropriate authority/court.

4. This Court is in agreement with submission put forward by the learned State counsel.

5. At this stage, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he shall be approaching the concerned authority/court for the redressal of the grievance but delay has occurred.

6. The writ petition was pending before this Court since the year 2017 which has to be taken into consideration, if the petitioner prefers any petition in next eight weeks.

7. The writ petition is disposed of with the aforesaid observation.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

vinayak/-

U			
---	--	--	--

