IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.51845 of 2026

CNR No. BRHC010732542026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-198 Year-2026 Thana- MIRGANJ District- Gopalganj

==========

Sunil Kumar Singh Son of Sriniwas Singh @ Niwash Singh R/O Vill- Bairagi Tola, P.S.- Sripur, District - Gopalganj

... ... Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... ... Opposite Party/s

==========

Appearance :
For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Umesh Kumar Singh, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Dr. Ajeet Kumar, APP

==========

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR PANDEY

ORAL ORDER

3 30-10-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner has prayed for bail registered for the offence punishable under Sections 103(1) and 3(5) of the B.N.S., 2023 and Section 27 of the Arms Act.

3. The case of the prosecution, in short, is that at the instigation of one Krishna Sharma, co-accused Narendra Kumar Singh fired at the chest of the informant's brother, who died on the spot.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence. He has been falsely implicated in the present case. He further submits that from perusal of the FIR, it transpires that

WEB COPY

the name of the petitioner does not find mention therein. The name of this petitioner has surfaced during the course of investigation, as recorded in paragraph 87 of the case diary, wherein a suspected mobile number was found to be registered in his name. It is alleged that calls were made from the said mobile number to the co-accused persons. He further submits that the petitioner has also made a confessional statement during the course of investigation; however, even in the said statement, he has merely stated that he had knowledge of the occurrence. No specific role has been attributed to him. He further submits that save ane except confessional statement and the mobile number fo the petitioner which is said to be used in calling with co-accused persons, there is nothing against him. He further submits that similarly situated co-accused persons have been granted bail by this Court vide Cr. Misc. Nos. 53334 of 2026 and 49333 of 2026. The case of the present petitioner stands on an similar footing. Moreover, a statement has been made in para 3 of the petition that petitioner has no criminal antecedent and he is languishing in judicial custody since 31.03.2026.

5. The application for bail is opposed by learned APP for the State.

6. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and

WEB COPY

considering the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court is inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail. The above named petitioner is directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rs. ten thousand only) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Gopalganj in connection with Mirganj P.S. Case No. 198 of 2026, PTN No. 1719 of 2026.

(Ashok Kumar Pandey, J)

Sudhanshu/-

U		T	

WEB COPY