

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.10795 of 2026

=====

Rahul Singh S/o- Harsh Nandan Singh, Resident- Hathsarganj, P.S-Hajipur,
District - Vaishali.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary Urban Development and Housing Department Government of Bihar Patna.
2. District Magistrate Hajipur.
3. Executive Officer Nagar Parishad Hajipur District Vaishali, Bihar.
4. Sangeeta Kumari Chief Counsellor Nagar Parishad Hajipur District Vaishali, Bihar.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Bibhuti Narayan, Adv.
	:	Ms. Priya Ranjan, Adv.
For Respondent No. 3	:	Mr. Ashok Kumar, Adv.
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr. Government Pleader (2)

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE A. ABHISHEK REDDY
ORAL ORDER

2 20-07-2026 Heard the learned counsel for the parties.

The present writ petition has been filed for the
following relief(s):-

“(A) For Issuance of Writ in the nature Mandamus for giving direction to take suitable action under Section 25(4) of the Bihar Municipal Act 2007, for removable of the respondents no-04 from the post of chairman of Nagar Parishad Hajipur, for not performing her statutory duty provided under Section 48(1) (2) of the Bihar Municipal Act 2007.

(B) For giving direction to make an enquiry and investigation against the Non-performance of statutory duty by the Chief Counsellor in terms of the provision of the Bihar Municipal Act 2007.

(C) For Giving Direction to Respondents no-01 to dispose off the representation



of the petitioner (Annexure-02) in accordance with law after following Principle of Natural Justice.

(D) Any Other relief/reliefs which this Hon'ble court may deem fit and proper in the fact and circumstances of the Present case."

3. Without going into the merits or demerits of the case, the present writ petition is disposed of at the stage of admission with the consent of both the counsels.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits that the petitioner has given a representation/ complaint dated 06.04.2026 (Annexure-P/2) to the respondent No. 1 herein who is the competent authority and it would suffice if a direction is given to the said authority to consider the said representation and pass necessary orders by fixing a time-frame.

5. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondents-State submits that he has no objection if a direction is given to the respondent No. 1 herein to dispose of the representation/ complaint strictly in accordance with law.

6. Having regard to the above made submissions, the present writ petition is disposed of directing the respondent No. 1 herein to consider the representation/ complaint dated 06.04.2026 (Annexure-P/2) and pass a reasoned order.

7. It is needless to mention that before passing any orders, the petitioner shall be given an opportunity of hearing.



The entire exercise shall be completed as expeditiously as possible preferably within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order. Any orders passed shall be communicated to the party.

8. With the above direction, the present writ petition stands disposed of.

(A. Abhishek Reddy, J)

Ayush/-

U			
---	--	--	--

