

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.1933 of 2021

In
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.14266 of 2017

=====

Shaligram Choudhary Son of Late Umesh Choudhary Resident of Village-
Karjapatti, Post-Karjapatti, P.S.-Kamtaul, Distrit-Darbhang.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through Director Suman Kumar, Raj Bhasa Bibhag, Old Secretariat, Patna.
2. The Director, Urdu Directorate, Imtiaz, Ahmad Karimi, Department of Ministerial Secretariat, Government of Bihar.
3. The District Collector, Sunil Kumar Yadav, Sitamardhi.
4. The Accountant General, Ramavatar Sharma, Bihar, Patna.
5. The Block Development Officer, Chandra Mohan Paswan, Sitamashi.

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Krishna Mohan Mishra, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Gyan Prakash Ojha (Ga 7)
Mr. Ram Kinkar Choubey, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

3 11-03-2026 Heard the parties.

2. The present petition has been preferred for the
following relief/s:

*(i) for initiation of contempt case/proceeding
against Director, Urdu Directorate,
Department of Ministerial Secretariat,
Government of, Bihar for willful violation
and disobedience of order passed in CWJC
14266/2017 order dated 06.07.2020.*

3. Show cause of the opposite party is on record and



the learned State counsel has taken this Court to paragraph 09 onwards which shows that the payment of Rs. 10,53,652/- on account of arrears of salary has been extended. Further, Rs. 1,67,004/- has also been sanctioned on account of difference of provisional gratuity pursuant to the benefit of ACP/MACP which was already granted to the petitioner and the payments have been made. Further, 1,01,848/- under leave encashment amount has been cleared to the petitioner. All the papers were sent to the Accountant General, Bihar for issuance of necessary authorization letter.

4. In that background, if the petitioner still has any grievance, he can very well agitate the matter. The opposite party has taken decision and extended the benefits to the petitioner pursuant to the extension of ACP and MACP to him. In that background, the contempt petition is disposed of.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

Ravi/-

U			
---	--	--	--

