

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.51266 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-18 Year-2020 Thana- HARINMAR District- Munger

Niraj Kumar son of Sadanand Paswan Resident of Mohalla-Purvari Tola,
Ward No.5, P.S. -Maheshkhunt, Distt.- Khagaria

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ranjeet Kumar Singh, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Arun Kumar Pandey, A.P.P.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

4 24-02-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in a case registered for the
offences punishable under Sections 302, 201 and 34 of the Indian Penal
Code.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner
is a person with clean antecedent and is in custody since 28.02.2025 and
the informant alleges that on 06.08.2020, at about 06.30 p.m., her son
Mantu Singh (deceased) had gone out of his house talking on mobile
with Naresh Paswan and Dinesh Kumar Singh, but the victim did not
return, thereafter, on the next day, a hectic search was made, but the
victim could not be located. It is next alleged that at about 3 O'clock, the
informant came to know that the dead body of her son lay concealed on
the land of Dinesh Kumar Singh under the soil, accordingly, she came
to the place of occurrence and saw a sharp cutting deep injury on the
left side of his head.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner



is not named in the FIR and his name transpired during the course of investigation that he was in contact with Amarjeet who in turn was in connect with Naresh and the persons, who were involved in the occurrence i.e. Amarjeet and Naresh, were found to be connected with the deceased.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State vehemently opposes the prayer for regular bail of the petitioner and submits that though petitioner is not named in the FIR but during the course of investigation his name transpired based on investigation of his CDR detail as has been recorded in the order impugned. It is further submitted that petitioner was in contact with Amarjeet and Naresh whose involvement transpired in the occurrence and Amarjeet and Naresh were also connected with the deceased on phone.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned A.P.P. for the State, the Court is not inclined to release the petitioner on bail in connection with Harinmar P.S. Case No. 18A of 2020 pending in the Court of learned Judicial Magistrate, 1st Class, Munger/Successor Court.

7. Hence, the prayer for bail is rejected.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Kundan/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

