

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.12287 of 2025

Sutra Consulting Pvt. Ltd., having its registered office N2/39, IRC Village, P.S.-Nayapalli, Bhubaneswar-751015 through its Authorised Representative, Mr. Sunil Kumar Jha, (Male), Aged about 47 Years, S/o Umesh Narayan Jha, R/o 54/23, P.N. Mukherjee Road, B.D. Sopan, PO and PS- Khardaha, Kolkata, Khardah (m), North 24 Parganas, B.d. Sopan, West Bengal-700116

... .. Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Secretary, Rural Development Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. JEEVIKA, Bihar Rural Livelihoods Promotion Society, at Vidyut Bhawan, Annexe-II, 1st Floor (Southern Wing) and 3rd Floor, through it CEO, Patna.
3. State Project Manager-M and E, JEEVIKA, Bihar Rural Livelihoods Promotion Society, at Vidyut Bhawan, Annexe-II, 1st Floor (Southern Wing) and 3rd Floor, through it CEO, Patna.

... .. Respondents

Appearance :

For the Petitioner : Mr. Dayanand Singh, Advocate.
For the State : Mr. Kamlesh Kishore, AC to SC-12
For the Respondent Nos. 2 & 3 : Mr. Abhinav Shrivastava, Sr. Advocate and Mr. Pushkar Bharadwaj, Advocate.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR SINGH
and
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SHAILENDRA SINGH
ORAL ORDER

(Per: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR SINGH)

5 27-04-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
counsel for the respondents.

2. Following is the relief sought for in the present writ
petition.



“I. I. For issuance of appropriate writ/orders/ direction for quashing the letter dated 18.08.2018 issued under the hand of Shri B. K. Pathak, Officer- on-Special Duty whereby and where under the agreement dated 15.11.2016 with the Petitioner Company was cancelled and the Petitioner Company was debarred for a period of 3 Years.

II. For issuance of appropriate writ/ orders/direction for staying the operation of letter dated 18.08.2018 issued under the hand of Shri B. K. Pathak, Officer- on-Special Duty during the pendency and till disposal of instant writ petition.

III. For issuance of appropriate writ/orders/thereby to declare and hold that the letter dated 17.08.2019 has the effect of removing/revoking debarment since date of debarment dated 18.08.2018.

IV. For any other writ, order, direction which the petitioner firm may be found eligible and entitled to and which may also seem just, proper and necessary in order to safeguard the rights and interest of the petitioner firm.”

3. Upon perusal of the record, it appears that the order of debarment was passed on 18.08.2018 for a period of three years, which has ceased to operate on 18.08.2021. The petitioner has preferred the present writ application in the year 2025, after the order under challenge no longer survives.

4. In view of the aforesaid, this Court is of the view that no further order is required to be passed in the present writ application.

5. Accordingly, the present writ application stands disposed of as having become infructuous.



6. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(Sudhir Singh, J)

(Shailendra Singh, J)

U.K./-BKS

U			
---	--	--	--

