

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.4062 of 2017

=====

Chandramani Prasad Singh S/o Sri Lakhan Prasad Singh, R/o Dharampur,
P.S.- Noorsarai, District- Nalanda.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The Bihar School Examination Board, Patna through its Chairman, Sinha Library Road, Patna.
2. Secretary, Bihar School Examination Board, Sinha Library Road, Patna.
3. Chief Vigilance Officer-cum-Enquiry Officer, Bihar School Examination Board, Patna.
4. Section Officer-Cum-Presenting Officer, Bihar School Examination Board, Patna.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ravindra Kumar Shukla, Advocate
For the Respondent B.S.E.B.: Mr. Satyabir Bharti, Sr. Advocate
Ms. Kanupriya, Advocate
Mr. Abhishek Anand, Advocate
Ms. Aastha Prakash, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PARTHA SARTHY
ORAL ORDER

9 19-01-2026 The petitioner has filed the instant application for the following reliefs:

“(i) A writ in the nature of certiorari for quashing the office order contained in Memo No. BSEB (05/331/Estb./16 dated 06.10.2016 whereby and where under it has been resolved to initiate departmental proceeding against the Petitioner by placing him under suspension under Rule 9(3) (ii) of Bihar Govt. Servant (Classification Control and Appeal) Rule, 2005.

(ii) To adjudicate and hold that the Petitioner has been subjected to a departmental proceeding after placing him under suspension on



nonest and baseless charges without holding any preliminary enquiry as to whether Petitioner is at all attach with such work or not as alleged in the Memo of charge.

(iii) To adjudicate and hold that continuance of departmental proceeding as well as the criminal proceeding simultaneously on same and similar set of facts & thereby constituting charges will cause gross injustice to the Petitioner.

(iv) Any other appropriate writ/writs be issued, order/ orders be passed and direction/directions be given for which the Petitioner may be found entitled in the given facts and circumstances of this case.”

2. It is submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner that the instant case having become infructuous, he seeks permission to withdraw this application.

3. In view of the above, the application is dismissed as withdrawn.

(Partha Sarthy, J)

Saurabh/-

U			
---	--	--	--

