

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.49889 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-102 Year-2026 Thana- MALAHI District- East Champaran

Sanoj Yadav S/O Channu Yadav @ Chanu Yadav R/O Village- Majhariya,
P.S.- Malahi, Dist.- East Champaran.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Suraj Kumar Tiwari, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Chandra Bhushan Prasad, A.P.P.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 03-08-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and
learned A.P.P. for the State, Mr. Chandra Bhushan Prasad.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case
registered for the offences punishable under Sections 336(3),
338, 318(4) and 317(2) of the BNS.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner is a person with clean antecedent and is aged about 42
years and the informant alleges that while petrolling on
09.03.2026 at 6:05 P.M., he received a secret information that
petitioner has kept a stolen motorcycle, accordingly, the police
reached his village and saw a motorcycle parked outside his
house and the accused person fled, further the motorcycle was
seized.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that



petitioner has been falsely implicated in the instant case based on secret information, it is further submitted that from perusal of the allegation as alleged in the FIR, it would manifest that the informant alleges that a motorcycle was found parked outside the house of the petitioner which was seized and on enquiry it transpired that the motorcycle was a stolen motorcycle, it is next submitted that had the petitioner been involved in the occurrence in that event he would not have parked the motorcycle outside his house rather would have concealed, it is also petitioner all throughout his life has remained a person with clean antecedent and all of sudden, based on secret information came to be implicated in the instant case, it is further submitted that if the privilege of anticipatory bail is granted to the petitioner, the petitioner will not abscond rather will cooperate in the investigation to prove his innocence.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State, Mr. Chandra Bhushan Prasad, opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner and taking into consideration the fact that petitioner is a person with clean antecedent, let the petitioner, above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender within a period of six weeks from today, be



released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Trial Court where the case is pending/Successor Court in connection with Malahi P.S. Case No. 102 of 2026, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C./482(2) of the B.N.S.S.

7. However, it is made clear that if the Investigating Officer of the case files an application before the learned Trial Court bringing to its notice that the petitioner, despite giving assurance to this Court, is not cooperating in the investigation, the learned Trial Court shall be at liberty to cancel the bail bonds of the petitioner after recording reasons.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

vikram/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

