IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.50649 of 2026

CNR No. BRHC010714152026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-303 Year-2025 Thana- PIPRAKOTHI District- East Champaran

==========

1. Jata Ram R/o Late Pashan Ram @ Persan Ram R/o Village - Belwatiya, Ward No 03, P.S. -Piprakothi, District-East Champaran.
2. Sukesh Ram @ Ukesh Ram S/o Jata Ram R/o Village - Belwatiya, Ward No 03, P.S. -Piprakothi, District-East Champaran.

... ... Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... ... Opposite Party/s

==========

Appearance :
For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ranjana Srivastava, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mrs. Shaheen Begum, APP

==========

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH MISHRA

ORAL ORDER

5 27-10-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioners seek bail in connection with Piprakothi P.S. Case No. 303 of 2025 instituted for the offences under Sections 103, 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.

3. Prosecution case, in short, is that the accused persons committed the murder of the informant's brother by assaulting and strangulating him.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that petitioners have falsely been implicated in the present case merely due to local village politics. Learned counsel for the

WEB COPY

petitioners submits that general and omnibus allegation has been made against these petitioners. No specific overt act is alleged against these petitioners. Learned counsel further submitted that there is no eye-witness to the occurrence. Learned counsel further submitted that petitioner no. 1 is the father-in-law and petitioner no. 2 is the brother-in-law of the deceased and there is no any motive for the alleged occurrence. Learned counsel further contended that except the confessional statement of the petitioners recorded before the police, there is no any other material to show the involvement of the petitioners in the alleged occurrence and the confessional statement recorded before the police has no evidentiary value in the eye of law. It has been submitted on behalf of the petitioners that the petitioner no. 1 and 2 are in custody since 04.04.2026 and 03.04.2026, respectively, and both have no criminal antecedent.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioners stating that as per the material available in the case diary witnesses have supported the case of the prosecution. She also submitted that as per the post-mortem report, the cause of death is asphyxia due to hanging.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances

WEB COPY

of the case, there being no direct material against the petitioners to, prima-facie, show their involvement in the alleged occurrence as also the period of custody undergone by the petitioners, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioners.

7. Let the petitioners be released on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 15,000/- (Rupees Fifteen Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of Court below/concerned Court in connection with Piprakothi P.S. Case No. 303 of 2025.

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

Alok Verma/-

U		T	

WEB COPY