

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.53291 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-90 Year-2024 Thana- BARARI District- Bhagalpur

1.

Jaspreet Singh @ Jaspreet Singh Saroya Son Of Late Harbans Singh Saroya
Authorised Representative of Masters Development Management (India),
Private Limited, having its office address at Unit- 207 and 208, Sagar Tech
Plaza, B Wing, Sakinaka Junction, Ps- Sakinaka, Andheri Kurla Road,
andheri East Munbai
2.

Pradeep Anand Shetty @ Pradeep Shetty son of Anand S Setty, Authorised
Representative of Masters Development Management (India), Private
Limited, and its group companies having its office address at Unit- 207 and
208, Sagar Tech Plaza, B Wing, Sakinaka Junction, Ps- Sakinaka, Andheri
Kurla Road, andheri East Munbai

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Ramakant Sharma, Sr. Advocate Mr. Sanjay Kumar Singh, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Mr. Abhay Kumar, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

6 15-05-2026 Heard learned senior counsel, Mr. Ramakant Sharma, appearing for the petitioners, learned A.P.P. for the State and learned counsel for the informant.

2. Petitioners apprehend their arrest in a case registered for the offence punishable under Sections 316(2), 316(5), 319(2), 318(4), 338, 336(3), 340(2), 308(3), 161(2) of the B.N.S., 2023.

3. The learned senior counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits that petitioner is a person with clean antecedent and the informant alleges that Tapovardhan Prakritik



Chikitsa Kendra is registered under the Society Registration Act where people come for Prakritik Chikitsa, further for expanding the Center, an agreement was entered in between the Director of the Center (informant) and Master Development Management Private Limited, a Mumbai based Project Management Company on 11.11.2021, for completing the construction of the Center, worth Rs. 36 Crores within a period of 16 months, further the fee payable to the management company was Rs. 68,16,000/- which was to be paid in 16 installments, but the company did not complete the work within the stipulated 16 months, rather the employees of the company overstayed for a period of 12 months without completing the work, further on 03.05.2022, the informant had complained to the Chairman of the company namely Jaspreet Singh (Petitioner) with regard to the pace of the work and he had assured that the work would be completed within the stipulated time, but the same was not done, rather the company saddled the informant with extra fee, which was objected, but the company instead of the agreed amount plus GST realised an amount of Rs. 93,79,000/- in excess, further alleges that the company realised an amount in excess of Rs. 74,31,807/- despite not completing the work, hence alleges that the agreement entered in between the



informant and the company was nothing, but an illusion, as work was not completed within the stipulated time and money in excess was charged in connivance with the officials of the informant.

4. The learned senior counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners submits that the petitioners have falsely been implicated in the instant case by the informant, it is further submitted that similarly situated, co-accused, Saikat Sen Gupta and others, have been already been granted privilege of anticipatory bail by the Hon'ble Supreme Court vide **order dated 06.04.2026** passed in **S.L.P. (Cr.) No. 3786 of 2026**, it is further submitted that if privilege of anticipatory bail is granted to the petitioners, the petitioners will not abscond rather will cooperate in the investigation.

5. At this stage, learned counsel for the informant submits that he has instruction not to oppose the anticipatory bail application of the petitioners.

6. After hearing learned counsel for the parties, I am inclined to grant privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioners. Let the petitioners, as named above, in the event of their arrest or surrender within four weeks from today, be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/-(Rupees Ten Thousand)



each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Bhagalpur, in connection with Barari P.S. Case No. 90 of 2024, subject to the conditions laid down under section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure/Section 482(2) of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

sanjeev/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

