

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.49721 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-147 Year-2023 Thana- SINGHWARA District- Darbhanga

Md. Arshad @ Md. Arshid @ Arseed Ali S/o Lalbabu Resident of Village -
Bhapura, P.S.- Singhwara, Dist. - Darbhanga.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Saurav Anand, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Damodar Prasad Tiwary, A.P.P.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 28-07-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and
learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case
registered for the offences punishable under Sections 147, 148,
149, 448, 323, 324, 379, 354, 504, 506, 427 and 308 of the
Indian Penal Code.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner is a person with clean antecedent and the informant
alleges that on 29.07.2023, five named accused persons
including the petitioner came to her house and petitioner acted
inappropriately with her and assaulted by sword causing injury
on head while Lalbabu snatched her chain. Further, Ahmad and
Sanjira Khatoon took away Rs.3,000/- and jewellery on orders
of Shamima Khatoon.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that



petitioner has been falsely implicated in the instant case by the informant. It is further submitted that during the course of investigation, notice under Section 41(A) Cr.P.C. was given to the petitioner and the petitioner cooperated in the investigation and the police never felt the need of arresting the petitioner. It is next submitted that thereafter charge-sheet came to be submitted based on which cognizance was taken, hence, petitioner apprehends his arrest. It is submitted that when the police, during the course of investigation, never felt the need of arresting the petitioner, whether it would be prudent for the Court to send the petitioner to jail based on an order of cognizance.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner, let the petitioner, above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender within a period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Trial Court where the case is pending/Successor Court in connection with Singhwara P.S. Case No. 147 of 2023,



subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of
the Cr.P.C./482(2) of the B.N.S.S.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Kundan/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

