

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.49879 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-280 Year-2020 Thana- SARAIYA District- Muzaffarpur

Ranjeet Kumar Sah @ Ranjeet Kumar S/O Pramod Sah Resident of Village-
Karja Dih, PS- Karja, District- Muzaffarpur,

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar Patna

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Bhavesh Kumar, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Anil Kumar, APP.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

2 22-07-2026 Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner as well
as the learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. The petitioner is seeking regular bail in connection with Saraiya P.S. Case No. 280 of 2020, registered for the offences punishable under Section 392 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. The prosecution case, in brief, is that allegedly, while the informant was transporting goods in a pickup van during the intervening night, he was intercepted by a group of unknown miscreants, who forcibly stopped the vehicle at gunpoint, tied his hands, legs and eyes & robbed him of his mobile phone, cash and driving licence and thereafter fled away with the pickup van. During the course of investigation,



the petitioner Ranjeet Kumar Sah @ Ranjeet Kumar, was implicated as one of the alleged participants in the occurrence, whereupon the present case was instituted against him.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in the present case. He has further submitted that he has not been named in the FIR and that his name has transpired on the basis of confessional statement of co-accused, namely, Pramod Kumar Sahi @ Pramod Vyas. Pramod Kumar Sahi @ Pramod Vyas, who has already been granted bail by the learned court below itself, as mentioned in paragraph no. 10 of the bail application. He has also submitted that the other co-accused person, namely, Ranjeet Kumar Sah has been granted anticipatory bail by order dated 02-01-2023 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 5990 of 2023 by a Co-ordinate Bench of this Court whereas co-accused namely, Akhilesh Kumar, Arun Kumar @ Arun Kumar Sahni and Manoj Sahni @ Manoj Kumar, have been enlarged on bail by a different Co-ordinate Bench of this Court by orders dated 01.12.2021, 31.07.2021 & 27.08.2021 passed in Cr. Misc. Nos. 68554/2021, 45418/2021 & 50443/2021 respectively, copies of which have been enclosed with this application. He has next submitted



that no recovery has been made from his conscious possession and that he has not been put on a Test Identification Parade. Learned counsel fairly submits that though the petitioner is involved in two other cases but in those cases, he has already been granted bail. The petitioner has been in judicial custody since 20.05.2026.

5. On the other hand, the learned APP for the State has opposed the prayer for bail.

6. Taking into account the entire facts and circumstances of the case, coupled with the fact that the petitioner has not been named in the FIR and that several accused persons have already been granted bail, including the co-accused who has named this petitioner, let the petitioner above-named, is directed to be released on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned ACJM-IV (West), Muzaffarpur /concerned court in connection with Saraiya P.S. Case No. 280 of 2020, subject to the following conditions:-

(i) The petitioner shall cooperate in the disposal of trial.

(ii) One of the bailors must be close relatives of the petitioner such as mother, father, brother, sister or wife.

(iii) The petitioner will appear on each and every date



fixed by the trial court and if, he fails to do so on two consecutive dates, unless he is prevented by extremely adverse circumstances, the learned court below will be at liberty to cancel the bail bond of the petitioner.

(iv) Before release, the learned court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and if he is found involved in any case other than the case as mentioned in para-3 of the bail petition, his bail bond will not be accepted.

(v) It is also made clear that there shall not be any delay in verifying the criminal antecedent of the petitioner.

(Raj Kumar, J)

Nirmal/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

