**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.50158 of 2026**

**CNR No. BRHC010709412026**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-136 Year-2026 Thana- NAYAGAON District- Saran

==========

Niraj Kumar Ram S/o Babulal Ram Resident of Village- Dumari Bujurg, P.S.- Nayagaon, District- Saran

... ... Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... ... Opposite Party/s

==========

**Appearance :**
For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ravi Prakash, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Pramod Kumar Pandey, APP

==========

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRAVEEN KUMAR**

ORAL ORDER

4 27-10-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

**2.** The Petitioner is apprehending his arrest in connection with Nayagaon P.S. Case No. 136 of 2026 registered for the offence punishable under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 303(2), 64, 62, 74, 352, 351(2) of the BNS.

**3.** As per the prosecution case, on 15.05.2026, at about 8:00 P.M., the informant had gone to ease herself near a bamboo grove. In the meantime, the petitioner, who was hiding behind the bamboo grove, suddenly came out, caught hold of the informant, threw her to the ground, and misbehaved with her. The petitioner also attempted to rape her. Somehow, the informant managed to snatch the knife from the petitioner's

WEB COPY

hand and raised an alarm, upon which the villagers arrived at the spot and rescued her. Thereafter, the petitioner snatched the informant's gold Jitiya and gold earrings, worth Rs. 70,000/-, and fled from the spot.

**4.** Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in this case .It has further been submitted that the informant is a major lady. It has further been submitted that the statement of the victim recorded under Section 183 of the B.N.S.S., which was called for by order dated 29.07.2026, indicates that the victim attributed the allegations of snatching her *mangalsutra* and earrings, as well as pulling her hair against one Gulshan Baitha and not against the petitioner. It has further been submitted that the victim has nowhere mentioned the name of the petitioner in her statement in connection with the alleged occurrence. It has also been submitted that the statement of the victim does not inspire confidence, as it does not implicate the petitioner in the alleged occurrence. Lastly, it has been submitted that the petitioner has got no criminal antecedent as stated in para 3 of the bail petition.

**5.** Learned APP for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner.

WEB COPY

**6**. Heard the parties and perused the record.

**7.** Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, as well as the contradictory statement of the victim recorded under Section 183 of the B.N.S.S., let the above named petitioner, in the event of his arrest/surrender within a period of six weeks from today, be enlarged on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail-bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned court concerned, Saran, in connection with Nayagaon P.S. Case No. 136 of 2026, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482(2) of the B.N.S.S.

**8.** Accordingly, the present application stands allowed.

**(Praveen Kumar, J)**

Jyoti/-

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| **U** | | T | |

WEB COPY