IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.51835 of 2026

CNR No. BRHC010707962026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-65 Year-2026 Thana- TAJPUR District- Samastipur

==========

Ghuran Sahni Son of Late Mahindra Sahni @ Late Mahender Sahni Resident of Villagen - Lakhnipur Maheshpatti, Lakhnipur Maheshpatti Deal, P.S. - Ujiarpur, District - Samastipur.

... ... Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... ... Opposite Party/s

==========

Appearance :
For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Dilip Kumar Roy, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Anil Prasad Singh, APP

==========

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH MISHRA

ORAL ORDER

5 28-10-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned APP for the State. Perused the case diary.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with Tajpur P.S. Case No. 65 of 2026 instituted for the offence under Sections 103(1) & 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 and Section 27 of the Arms Act.

3. The prosecution case, in brief, is that the informant alleged that her husband, who was working as a Railway Loco Pilot, was shot dead in a pre-planned manner by nine named accused persons and others unknown while he was on his way to Samastipur for duty.

4. It has been submitted on behalf of the petitioner

WEB COPY

that the petitioner is in custody since 08.05.2026. Petitioner bears no criminal antecedent, as per disclosure made in paragraph No. 3 of the bail application.

5. It has been further submitted by the petitioner's counsel that petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case. Petitioner is not named in the FIR. Name of the petitioner has transpired on the basis of confessional statement of co-accused, namely, Manjeet Kumar. During investigation, it is revealed that petitioner allegedly supplied pistol to the co-accused, namely, Manjeet Kumar, who has fired upon the deceased, which is evident from perusal of his confessional statement. There is no allegation of firing against the petitioner. Charge sheet has already been submitted in this case.

6. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner.

7. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, period of custody of the petitioner and charge sheet being submitted, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

8. Let the petitioner be released on bail, ***after framing of charge, if not already framed***, on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 15,000/- (Fifteen Thousand) with two sureties of the like

WEB COPY

amount each to the satisfaction of Court below/concerned Court in connection with Tajpur P.S. Case No. 65 of 2026, subject to the following conditions:

(I) One of the bailors shall be own/close member of the family of the petitioner.

(II) The petitioner shall appear on each and every date fixed at the trial. In case of default in such appearance on two consecutive dates, the Trial Court will have liberty to cancel the bail bonds of the petitioner.

9. The Trial Court is directed to take all earnest steps to ensure that the charge in the present case is framed expeditiously.

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

Raj Kishore/-

U		T	

WEB COPY